



Andrew M. Cuomo
Governor

STATE OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF INDIGENT LEGAL SERVICES

80 S SWAN STREET, SUITE 1147
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12210
Tel: (518) 486-2028 Fax: (518) 474-5050
E-Mail: info@ils.ny.gov
<http://www.ils.ny.gov>

William J. Leahy
Director

Joseph F. Wierschem
Counsel

Improving the Quality of Mandated Representation Throughout the State of New York

INDIGENT LEGAL SERVICES BOARD

April 4, 2019

Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, Room 1106

Agenda

- I. Approval of minutes of November 30, 2018 meeting (attached)
- II. Report on FY 2019-2020 State Budget (Bill and Joe) (materials to be distributed)
- III. Distribution and discussion of the 2018 "Transfer of Authority" Amendments to County Law § 722, in effect as of April 1, 2019 (Joe) (legislation attached)
- IV. Update on developments following release of the Interim Report of the Commission on Parental Legal Representation (Angela Burton) (materials to be distributed)
- V. Update on *Hurrell-Harring* (HH) Implementation (Patricia Warth) (materials attached)
- VI. Update on Statewide HH Implementation (Joanne Macri) (materials to be distributed)
- VII. Further Discussion of Draft ILS **Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs** (Bill) (materials attached)
- VIII. Update on RTA implementation (Bill)
- IX. Preparation of the Seventh Annual Report of the ILSB, through calendar 2018
- X. Next Meeting **Friday, June 14, 2019** at the City Bar Association

Minutes for the Indigent Legal Services Board Meeting

November 30, 2018

11:00 A.M.

New York City Bar Association

Board Members Present: Chief Judge Janet DiFiore, John Dunne, Judge Carmen Ciparick, Mike Breslin, Lenny Noisette, Joe Mareane, Suzette Melendez, Judge Sheila DiTullio, Vince Doyle (by telephone)

ILS Office Attendees: Bill Leahy, Joe Wierschem, Angela Burton, Patricia Warth, Matt Alpern, Cynthia Feathers

Guests: New York State Division of Budget representative, by telephone

Minutes taken by: Mindy Jeng

I. Approval of September 28, 2018 Board Meeting Minutes

A board member moved to approve the minutes from the September 28, 2018 board meeting. The motion was seconded, and the minutes of the September board meeting were approved unanimously.

II. Status Report on Chief Judge DiFiore's Commission on Parental Legal Representation

Angela Burton from the ILS Office gave a report on Chief Judge DiFiore's Commission on Parental Legal Representation. The Commission has conducted four public hearings and received written submissions, including submissions from North Carolina's and Washington's statewide offices of parental representation. Patricia Warth and Joanne Macri also gave presentations to the Commission. The Commission also collected surveys from attorneys, clients, and judges. The final meeting of the Commission is on December 11, and they plan on submitting an interim report, with the Chief Judge's permission.

Angela stated that the interim report will focus on the representation of parents in child protective proceedings. The Commission has determined that State funding and State oversight are necessary. Parents need representation at the earliest stage possible. Angela reported that in about 20% of cases where the child is removed, the parent is not represented by counsel. Angela reiterated the Commission's conclusion that early access and early intervention by counsel is paramount.

Board members expressed surprise that so many removals involved parents without representation. Angela stated that in most counties, no process exists for making attorneys available. In Erie County, the Assigned Counsel Program has volunteer attorneys-for-the-day. Before that, children were removed without counsel for parents. The Commission will address these issues in its report.

III. Update on Hurrell-Harring Implementation

Patricia Warth presented to the Board on *Hurrell-Harring* implementation work by the ILS Office, and distributed a handout with detailed information. Patricia said her team has trained providers and judges on the ILS Eligibility Criteria and Procedures. Their use enables providers and judges to distinguish between those defendants who can pay for an attorney, and those who cannot. Decisions on eligibility are made more quickly, and counsel is assigned without undue delay.

Patricia stated that providing counsel at arraignment has made a significant difference in the counties. *Hurrell-Harring* providers have represented over 44,000 people at first appearance. This effort has required negotiation with law enforcement, tracking missed arraignments, and constant monitoring of the efficiency of the program. Three counties have created Centralized Arraignment Programs, which has improved efficiency and reduced the number of missed arraignments. The reports on counsel at arraignment programs are located on the ILS website.

Caseload relief and quality improvement funding in the five counties has afforded access to social workers and non-attorney professionals for public defenders. Increased funding for caseload relief and quality improvement has led to hiring 66 new attorneys and 36 non-attorneys in the five counties. Public defenders can now practice client-centered, holistic representation and have access to enhanced training opportunities. Staff attorneys now have a supervisor available to answer questions. Public defense providers are on track to comply with the ILS caseload standards by April 2019.

For Assigned Counsel Programs (ACP), caseload relief and quality improvement funding has bolstered the administration of the programs. More attorneys have been hired for ACP administration, vouchers are processed more quickly, and the programs have contracted with non-attorney professionals to work with panel attorneys. More one-on-one mentoring is available. There is a culture of collaboration. Patricia also reiterated the importance of ACPs for caseload compliance in public defender offices, as institutional providers need to be able to refer cases to ACPs when they have a conflict of interest or face a case overload.

IV. Preliminary Discussion on Draft ILS Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs

Bill Leahy noted that board member Vince Doyle was the principal architect of the standards for Assigned Counsel Programs for the State Bar issued in 2005 and has provided helpful feedback to ILS on the standards.

Matt Alpern shared with the Board that he has always worked for well-resourced defender offices, and his goal with the ACP standards was to achieve similar standards. Matt said his goal is for ACP to create client-centered representation and have a structure for lawyers to do their work. The standards had to adapt and be flexible since New York State is so diverse, and counties deal with different issues.

Matt created a committee consisting of a cross-section of administrators (Erie County, New York City, Westchester, Long Island, Steuben, Delaware). Mardi Crawford from NYSBA was a key member of the Committee, and contributed historical context. An editing committee was created. A black letter draft was produced, and commentary was added.

A board member stated that the standards were extremely well done. The language was clear, precise, and actionable. From the perspective of a practitioner, it is an excellent document.

Cynthia Feathers stated that the committee endeavored to strike a balance. The authors wanted a comprehensive guide but did not want to write a treatise. The intent was to describe the responsibilities of a well-functioning Assigned Counsel Program.

A board member commented that the report was extraordinary and asked whether the draft was circulated to any judicial groups. Bill said that at this stage, he would like the Board first to provide feedback.

Board members noted that the standards were powerful and that the Committee did a wonderful job putting them together. A board member opined that the standards were consistent with national and state standards. The Chief Judge noted that extraordinary work was done on the standards, and the topic will be re-calendared for the first meeting in 2019.

V. Update on Statewide Implementation and FY 2019-2020 Budget Request

Joe Wierschem stated that ILS' budget request was submitted to the Executive. The budget request included an increase in funding for the statewide *Hurrell-Harring* expansion, \$3 million for parental representation caseload relief, and funds for additional office staff and retention. ILS' engagement in the budget process with the Division of Budget has been very positive.

Joe notes that Joanne Macri and her Statewide Expansion team have done an incredible amount of work with the counties and ILS will shortly enter into contracts with them. They are assessing the ability of providers to produce data and have engaged in an incredible number of structured meetings with the counties. As highlights, two counties are creating public defender offices, and many are discussing establishing Assigned Counsel Programs. Counties are also devising way to share lawyers so to alleviate the shortage of lawyers in certain areas. In addition, funding is being provided so that every county will have a data officer, whose job it is to make sure data is extracted for ILS in a usable fashion.

Joe also noted that a job fair was being planned in Albany to advertise the need for public defenders around the state.

VI. Report on Raise the Age (RTA) Task Force Meeting

Bill Leahy reported on a third meeting of the Raise the Age Task Force. The Task Force reviewed six weeks of data. The early information demonstrated that there was a declining number of arrests in 16- to 17-year-olds. Even so, there is concern about where these children will be detained, if they were not released at their arraignment. Outside of New York City, only 13 teens were detained out of county.

Some public defense providers and the Children's Defense Fund have proposed amendments to draft probation regulations. They want counsel to receive notification when the child is offered treatment, and services should be offered instead of imposed on the child.

Another concern is that in the Seventh Judicial District, 16 year old arrestees could be sent from their home county to Monroe County for arraignment, when no Youth Part was open. Bill was informed that the practice will stop by January.

VII. Timetable for 2019 Meetings

Bill will inquire when the Chief Judge is available for meetings in 2019 and will then present potential meeting dates to the rest of the Board. If Board members know they will be away, please inform Bill. Generally, board meetings are scheduled in April, June, September, and November/December.

A motion to adjourn the meeting was made and seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 12:41 p.m.

[| The Laws Of New York \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/ALL\) / Consolidated Laws \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/CONSOLIDATED\) / County \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/CNT\) / Article 18-B: Representation Of Persons Accused Of Crime Or Parties Before The Family Court Or Surrogate's Court \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/CNT/A18-B\) /](#)

[PREV](#)
[SECTION 722-E](#)

[Expenses \(/Legislation/Laws/CNT/722-E/\)](#)

[UP ONE LEVEL](#)
[ARTICLE 18-B](#)

[Representation Of Persons Accused Of Crime Or Parties Before The Family Court Or Surrogate's Court \(/Legislation/Laws/CNT/A18-B\)](#)

Section 722-F

Annual reports

County (CNT)

SHARE



* 1. A public defender appointed pursuant to article eighteen-A of this chapter, a private legal aid bureau or society designated by a county or city pursuant to subdivision two of section seven hundred twenty-two of this chapter, and an administrator of a plan of a bar association appointed pursuant to subdivision three of section seven hundred twenty-two of this chapter shall file an annual report with the judicial conference at such times and in such detail and form as the judicial conference may direct.

* NB Effective until April 1, 2019

* 1. A public defender appointed pursuant to article eighteen-A of this chapter, a private legal aid bureau or society designated by a county or city pursuant to subdivision two of section seven

hundred twenty-two of this article, an administrator of a plan of a bar association appointed pursuant to subdivision three of section seven hundred twenty-two of this article and an office of conflict defender established pursuant to such subdivision shall file an annual report with the chief administrator of the courts and the office of indigent legal services. Such report shall be filed at such times and in such detail and form as the office of indigent legal services may direct.

* NB Effective April 1, 2019

2. (a) The county executive or chief executive officer of each county or, in the case of a county wholly contained within a city, such city shall file an annual report which specifies in detail and certifies to the office of indigent legal services the total expenditures of such county or city, identifying "local funds", as defined in paragraph (c) of subdivision two of section ninety-eight-b of the state finance law, state funds, federal funds and funds received from a "private source" as described in subdivision two of section ninety-eight-b of the state finance law, for providing legal representation to persons who were financially unable to afford counsel, pursuant to this article. Such annual report shall be made on a form developed for such purpose by the office of indigent legal services.

(b) Such annual report, detailing expenditures for the period January first through December thirty-first of the previous calendar year, shall be filed on or before the first day of March of each year.

[The Laws Of New York \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/ALL\) / Consolidated Laws \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/CONSOLIDATED\) / County \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/CNT\) / Article 18-B: Representation Of Persons Accused Of Crime Or Parties Before The Family Court Or Surrogate's Court \(/LEGISLATION/LAWS/CNT/A18-B\) /](#)

[UP ONE LEVEL](#)
[ARTICLE 18-B](#)

[Representation Of Persons Accused Of Crime Or Parties Before The Family Court Or Surrogate's Court \(/Legislation/Laws/CNT/A18-B\)](#)

[NEXT](#)
[SECTION 722-A](#)
[Definition Of Crime \(/Legislation/Laws/CNT/722-A\)](#)

Section 722

Plan for representation
County (CNT)

SHARE



The governing body of each county and the governing body of the city in which a county is wholly contained shall place in operation throughout the county a plan for providing counsel to persons charged with a crime or who are entitled to counsel pursuant to section two hundred sixty-two or section eleven hundred twenty of the family court act, article six-C of the correction law, section four hundred seven of the surrogate's court procedure act or article ten of the mental hygiene law, who are financially unable to obtain counsel. Each plan shall also provide for investigative, expert and other services necessary for an adequate defense. The plan shall conform to one of the following:

1. Representation by a public defender appointed pursuant to county law article eighteen-A.

2. In criminal proceedings, representation by counsel furnished by a private legal aid bureau or society designated by the county or city, organized and operating to give legal assistance and representation to persons charged with a crime within the city or county who are financially unable to obtain counsel. In proceedings under the family court act, representation by a private legal aid bureau or society, or by any corporation, voluntary association, or organization permitted to practice law under the authority of subdivision five of section four hundred ninety-five of the judiciary law.

3. (a) Representation by counsel furnished pursuant to either or both of the following: a plan of a bar association in each county or the city in which a county is wholly contained whereby: (i) the services of private counsel are rotated and coordinated by an administrator, and such administrator may be compensated for such service; or (ii) such representation is provided by an office of conflict defender.

* (b) Any plan of a bar association must receive the approval of the state administrator before the plan is placed in operation. In the county of Hamilton, representation pursuant to a plan of a bar association in accordance with subparagraph (i) of paragraph (a) of this subdivision may be by counsel furnished by the Fulton county bar association pursuant to a plan of the Fulton county bar association, following approval of the state administrator. When considering approval of an office of conflict defender pursuant to this section, the state administrator shall employ the guidelines established by the

office of indigent legal services pursuant to paragraph (d) of subdivision three of section eight hundred thirty-two of the executive law.

* NB Effective until April 1, 2019

* (b) Any plan of a bar association must receive the approval of the office of indigent legal services before the plan is placed in operation. In the county of Hamilton, representation pursuant to a plan of a bar association in accordance with subparagraph (i) of paragraph (a) of this subdivision may be by counsel furnished by the Fulton county bar association pursuant to a plan of the Fulton county bar association, following approval of the office of indigent legal services. When considering approval of an office of conflict defender pursuant to this section, the office of indigent legal services shall employ the guidelines it has heretofore established pursuant to paragraph (d) of subdivision three of section eight hundred thirty-two of the executive law.

* NB Effective April 1, 2019

* (c) Any county operating an office of conflict defender, as described in subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (a) of this subdivision, as of March thirty-first, two thousand ten may continue to utilize the services provided by such office provided that the county submits a plan to the state administrator within one hundred eighty days after the promulgation of criteria for the provision of conflict defender services by the office of indigent legal services. The authority to operate such

an office pursuant to this paragraph shall expire when the state administrator approves or disapproves such plan. Upon approval, the county is authorized to operate such office in accordance with paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subdivision.

* NB Effective until April 1, 2019

* (c) Any county operating an office of conflict defender, as described in subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (a) of this subdivision, as of March thirty-first, two thousand ten may continue to utilize the services provided by such office provided that the county submits a plan to the state administrator within one hundred eighty days after the promulgation of criteria for the provision of conflict defender services by the office of indigent legal services. The authority to operate such an office pursuant to this paragraph shall expire when the state administrator (or, on or after April first, two thousand nineteen, the office of indigent legal services) approves or disapproves such plan. Upon approval, the county is authorized to operate such office in accordance with paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subdivision.

* NB Effective April 1, 2019

* (d) For purposes of this subdivision, any plan of a bar association approved hereunder pursuant to this subdivision, as provided prior to April first, two thousand nineteen, shall remain in effect until it is superseded by a plan approved by the office of indigent legal services or disapproved by such office.

* NB Effective April 1, 2019

4. Representation according to a plan containing a combination of any of the foregoing. Any judge, justice or magistrate in assigning counsel pursuant to sections 170.10, 180.10, 210.15 and 720.30 of the criminal procedure law, or in assigning counsel to a defendant when a hearing has been ordered in a proceeding upon a motion, pursuant to article four hundred forty of the criminal procedure law, to vacate a judgment or to set aside a sentence or on a motion for a writ of error coram nobis, or in assigning counsel pursuant to the provisions of section two hundred sixty-two of the family court act or section four hundred seven of the surrogate's court procedure act, or in assigning counsel to a defendant when a case has been calendared for consideration of resentencing pursuant to subdivision four of section six hundred one-d of the correction law or when a court is otherwise called upon to consider whether a proper term of post-release supervision was imposed as part of a determinate sentence, shall assign counsel furnished in accordance with a plan conforming to the requirements of this section; provided, however, that when the county or the city in which a county is wholly contained has not placed in operation a plan conforming to that prescribed in this subdivision or subdivision three of this section and the judge, justice or magistrate is satisfied that a conflict of interest prevents the assignment of counsel pursuant to the plan in operation, or when the county or the city in which a county is wholly contained has not placed in operation any plan conforming to that prescribed in this section, the judge, justice or magistrate may assign any attorney in such county or city

and, in such event, such attorney shall receive compensation and reimbursement from such county or city which shall be at the same rate as is prescribed in section seven hundred twenty-two-b of this article. When a case has been calendared for consideration of resentencing pursuant to subdivision four of section six hundred one-d of the correction law or when a court is otherwise called upon to consider whether a proper term of post-release supervision was imposed as part of a determinate sentence, the attorney appointed should be the attorney who appeared for the defendant in connection with the judgment or sentence or, if the defendant is currently represented concerning his or her conviction or sentence or with respect to an appeal from his or her conviction or sentence, such present counsel.

5. In classification proceedings under article six-C of the correction law or from an appeal thereof, representation shall be according to a plan described in subdivisions one, two, three or four of this section. If such plan includes representation by a private legal aid bureau or society, such private legal aid bureau or society shall have been designated to give legal assistance and representation to persons charged with a crime.

Upon an appeal in a criminal action, and on any appeal described in section eleven hundred twenty of the family court act, article six-C of the correction law or section four hundred seven of the surrogate's court procedure act, wherein the party is financially unable to obtain counsel, the appellate court shall assign counsel furnished in accordance with the plan, conforming to the requirements of this section, which is in

operation in the county or in the city in which a county is wholly contained wherein the judgment of conviction, disposition, or order of the trial court was entered; provided, however, that when such county or city has not placed in operation a plan conforming to that prescribed in subdivision three or four of this section and such appellate court is satisfied that a conflict of interest prevents the assignment of counsel pursuant to the plan in operation, or when such county or city has not placed in operation any plan conforming to that prescribed in this section, such appellate court may assign any attorney in such county or city and, in such event, such attorney shall receive compensation and reimbursement from such county or city which shall be at the same rate as is prescribed in section seven hundred twenty-two-b of this chapter.

[UP ONE LEVEL](#)
[ARTICLE 18-B](#)

[Representation Of Persons Accused Of Crime Or Parties Before The Family Court Or Surrogate's Court \(/Legislation/Laws/CNT/A18-B\)](#)

[NEXT](#)
[SECTION 722-A](#)

[Definition Of Crime \(/Legislation/Laws/CNT/722-A/\)](#)

**New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services
Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs
BLACK LETTER STANDARDS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. Preamble	1
1.1. Applicability	2
1.2. Scope.....	2
1.3. Purpose.....	2
1.4. Definitions.....	3
1.4.a. Administrator	3
1.4.b. Assigned Counsel.....	3
1.4.c. Assigned Counsel Program	3
1.4.d. Clients	3
1.4.e. Counties.....	3
1.4.f. Independence	3
1.4.g. Judge	3
1.4.h. Mandated Representation.....	3
1.4.i. Mentor or Mentoring Attorney	3
1.4.j. Panel.....	3
1.4.k. Quality Representation	3
1.4.l. Supervising Attorney	3
1.4.m. Chief Defender.....	3

PART II. COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Establishment and Maintenance of an Assigned Counsel Program

2. General Policies	4
2.1. ACP Requirement	4
2.1.a. Regional Programs	4
2.2. Quality Representation.....	4
2.3. Independence	4
2.3.a. Independent Office.....	4
2.3.b. Judicial Supervision.....	4
2.3.c. Independent Function.....	4
3. Required Structure	4
3.1. Governing Law	4
3.2. ACP Board	4
3.2.a. Board Members.....	4
3.2.b. Board Supervision.....	4
3.2.c. No Interference.....	4

3.2.d. Insurance	5
3.3. Assigned Counsel Administrator	5
3.3.a. Administrator Qualifications.....	5
3.3.b. Administrator Selection	5
3.3.c. Administrator Continuity	5
3.3.d. Administrator Functions	5
3.3.d.i. Delegating Duties	5
3.3.d.ii. Spokesperson Role.....	5
3.3.d.iii. Addressing Issues	5
3.3.d.iv. Planning and Policy	5
3.3.d.v. Assignment Process	5
3.3.d.vi. Vouchers	6
3.3.d.vii. Non-Attorney Professional Services.....	6

B. Provision of Necessary Resources

4. ACP Capacity	6
4.1. Facilities.....	6
4.1.a. Office Space	6
4.1.b. Technology	6
4.2. Necessary Services.....	6
4.2.a. Supervision.....	6
4.2.b. Mentoring.....	6
4.2.c. Consultation	6
4.2.d. Training.....	6
4.3. Staffing.....	6
4.3.a. Supervising Attorney	7
4.3.b. Administrative Staff.....	7
4.3.b.i. Hiring Staff.	7
4.3.b.ii. Client’s Rights	7
4.3.c. ACP Staff Salaries.....	7
4.3.c.i. Administrator’s Compensation	7
4.3.ii. Staff Compensation	7
4.4. Client Communication	7
4.5. Full Partnership.....	7
4.6. Ensuring Adequacy of Facilities for Representation	7
4.6.a Confidential Client Communication Facilities.....	7
4.6.b. Legal Research Capacity.....	7
5. Early Representation	8
5.1. General	8
5.1.a. Assignment During Eligibility Determination.....	8
5.1.b. Subsequent Appearances	8
5.2. Counsel in Criminal Cases.....	8
5.3. Counsel for Litigants in Family Law Matters.....	8

6. Duration and Continuity of Representation	8
6.1. Duration of Representation.....	8
6.2. Continuity of Representation.....	8
7. Budget and Funding	8
7.1. General.....	8
7.1.a. Periodic Review.....	8
7.1.b. Compliance with ILS Standards.....	8
7.1.c. Budget and Record-Keeping.....	8
7.1.d. Voucher Review.....	8

III. ACP RESPONSIBILITIES

A. General Responsibilities

8. Operational Responsibilities	9
8.1. Attorney Panels.....	9
8.1.a. Differentiated Panels.....	9
8.1.b. Qualifications.....	9
8.1.c. Regional Recruitment.....	9
8.1.d. No Fee.....	9
8.1.e. Administrator Assignments.....	9
8.1.f. Geographic Areas.....	9
8.1.g. Malpractice Coverage.....	9
8.2. Requirement that Eligible Clients Receive Representation.....	9
8.3. Procedures for Compensating Panel Attorneys.....	9
8.3.a. Full Compensation.....	9
8.3.b. Prompt Payment.....	9
8.3.c. Additional Payment.....	10
8.3.d. Interim Vouchers.....	10
8.3.e. Post-Disposition Work.....	10
8.3.f. Expenses.....	10
8.3.g. Changes in Procedures.....	10
8.4. Administrative Responsibilities for Panel Attorneys.....	10
8.5. Access to Appropriate Non-Attorney Professional Services.....	10
8.5.a. Range of Services.....	10
8.5.b. Direct Services.....	10
8.6. Quality Assurance Procedures.....	10

B. Quality Assurance Provisions

9. General Provisions	10
9.1. Compliance with Applicable Standards.....	10
9.2. Client-Centered Representation.....	10
9.2.a. Contacting Clients.....	11
9.2.b. Promptly Meeting.....	11

9.2.c. Accepting Phone Calls	11
9.2.d. Timely Responding	11
9.2.e. Protecting Confidentiality	11
9.2.f. Updating the Client.....	11
9.2.g. Discussing Relevant Documents.....	11
9.2.h. Collaborating with the Client.....	11
9.2.i. Pursuing Alternatives to Incarceration	11
9.2.j. Using Non-Attorney Professional Services	11
9.2.k. Explaining Collateral Consequences	11
9.2.l. Representing Young Clients	11
9.2.m. Preserving Appellate Rights	11
10. Attorney Capability	11
10.1. Knowledge and Experience	11
10.2. Assessment of Attorneys.....	12
11. Attorney Caseload	12
11.1. Attorney Caseloads	12
11.1.a. Evaluation of Attorney Caseload	12
11.1.b. Review of Attorney Caseload	12
12. Training	12
12.1. Orientation	12
12.2. Initial Training	12
12.3. Ongoing Training.....	12
12.a. Obtaining CLE Training	12
12.b. Mandated Representation Topics.....	12
12.c. Monitoring CLE Programs.....	12
12.d. Providing Affordable Programs	13
13. Supervision and Mentoring	13
13.1. Use of ACP Resources.....	13
14. Performance Review and Remediation.....	13
14.1. Review of Performance and Remediation Policies.....	13
14.2. Compliant Procedures	13
14.3. Remediation	13

**New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services
Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs
BLACK LETTER STANDARDS**

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. Preamble

Well-designed, properly maintained, and adequately funded assigned counsel programs (ACPs or Programs) play a vital role in ensuring justice for clients who cannot afford to retain an attorney in criminal defense or family law matters. Every county in New York State depends upon assigned counsel to provide representation for public defense clients. In several counties, ACPs are the primary or sole provider of mandated representation. In most counties, where public defender offices or legal aid societies are the primary providers, the mandated representation of some eligible individuals presents conflicts of interest requiring the assignment of private attorneys.

For compelling reasons beyond conflicts of interest, the continuing involvement of the private bar is essential to the success of public defense reform efforts. First and foremost, effective public defense requires a robust competition of ideas among practitioners with a broad range of perspectives. By bringing their experiences representing private clients to public defense, private assigned counsel may show staff attorneys new and different ways of doing things, thus helping to ensure that public defense practice remains rich and innovative. Further, private attorneys who represent public defense clients can serve as effective ambassadors to bar associations, legislatures, community groups, and others. They can educate the public and system stakeholders about the needs of the criminal justice system and promote funding and initiatives that will ensure quality public defense. Finally, when public defenders face unanticipated fluctuations in staffing and caseloads, the private bar can help achieve administrative stability and quality of representation.

County Law article 18-B, enacted in 1965, delegates to localities the responsibility for public defense services. Section 722 sets out the types of providers that counties may employ to fulfill the right to counsel. One permissible mechanism is a bar association program in which an Administrator rotates assignments and administers the services of private counsel. However, County Law § 722 provides no details as to the proper establishment of ACPs, so counties and bar associations have created and maintained programs with little guidance. To aid counties and ACP Administrators and to ensure quality representation, the State Office of Indigent Legal Services (ILS), in consultation with the ILS Board, promulgates these Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs (Standards), pursuant to Executive Law § 832.

These Standards draw from existing national, state, and local standards; developments in ACPs over the last half-century; and the experience and knowledge of the Standards Working Group and ILS staff. Materials consulted include: New York State Bar Association (NYSBA) Revised Standards for Providing Mandated Representation (NYSBA Revised Standards); National Legal Aid and Defender Association (NLADA) Standards for the Administration of Assigned Counsel Systems (NLADA ACS Standards); and standards promulgated by the New York State Defenders Association (NYSDA), including Standards for Providing Constitutionally and Statutorily Mandated Representation in New York State (NYSDA Standards for Mandated Representation),

and by the American Bar Association (ABA). These Standards reference, and should be read in conjunction with, other relevant ILS standards listed in the Commentary to Standard 1.2, found in the BLACK LETTER STANDARDS WITH COMMENTARIES.

ILS has created these Standards to help ACPs ensure that panel attorneys can comply with all applicable individual representation standards and with New York Rules of Professional Conduct. There are many unique and challenging aspects of assigned counsel representation. For instance, where judges select attorneys to handle public defense cases, those attorneys may be concerned that zealous representation could discourage future assignments. Attorneys may sometimes feel pressure to consider the fiscal interests of the government, which may be adverse to the needs of clients. These pressures can be exacerbated for the many panel attorneys who depend on assignments as part of a solo or small law practice.

These challenges must not result in any compromise in the quality of representation provided to public defense clients or the independence of panel attorneys. *Gideon v Wainwright*, 372 US 335, 345 (1963), established the right of state criminal defendants to the “guiding hand of counsel at every step in the proceedings.” Implicit in that concept is “the assumption that counsel will be free of state control. There can be no fair trial unless the accused receives the services of an effective and independent advocate.” *Polk County v Dodson*, 454 US 312, 322 (1981). The government must adequately fund public defense services and structure ACPs so that lawyers can remain independent, meet their ethical obligations, and deliver quality representation. ILS and its Board will continue to work with stakeholders to secure the funding necessary for compliance with these Standards.

1.1. Applicability. These Standards apply to all existing and future systems in the state for the delivery of mandated representation by assigned counsel.

1.2. Scope. These Standards are designed to guide ACPs to ensure that attorneys can comply with relevant performance standards in providing mandated representation. Essential elements of the representation of individual clients are summarized in Standard 9.2, but are more fully covered in other standards, including: ILS Standards for Parental Representation in State Intervention Matters, ILS Appellate Standards and Best Practices (ILS Appellate Standards), and ILS Standards and Criteria for the Provision of Mandated Representation in Cases Involving a Conflict of Interest (ILS Conflict Standards¹); NYSDA standards, including Client-Centered Representation Standards (NYSDA Client-Centered Standards); NYSBA Revised Standards; ABA Criminal Justice Standards for the Defense Function (4th ed); and NLADA Performance Guidelines for Criminal Defense Representation (NLADA Performance Guidelines).

1.3. Purpose. These Standards set out the structure and components of ACPs necessary to ensure quality representation.

¹ILS Conflict Standards have applied to all trial-level mandated representation cases since Jan. 1, 2013. <https://www.ils.ny.gov/content/standards-and-performance-criteria> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

1.4. Definitions.

1.4.a. Administrator. The organizational leader who administers the ACP and ensures that these Standards are met.

1.4.b. Assigned Counsel. A private attorney or attorneys, other than an attorney or attorneys employed by an institutional provider, representing public defense clients.

1.4.c. Assigned Counsel Program (ACP). An entity that sets forth protocols and policies for assigning attorneys to public defense clients and ensures that those attorneys provide quality representation.

1.4.d. Clients. Persons entitled to representation in criminal defense and family law matters under County Law article 18-B.

1.4.e. Counties. All 62 counties in the state: the 57 upstate counties and the five boroughs of New York City.

1.4.f. Independence. Freedom from improper influence and control by an outside entity, to ensure that ACPs and assigned counsel make decisions based solely on the interests of clients.

1.4.g. Judge. Judges, magistrates, and any other persons with adjudicative powers over clients eligible for mandated representation.

1.4.h. Mandated Representation. Government-funded legal representation that is constitutionally or statutorily required. “Mandated representation” is used interchangeably with “public defense representation.” As employed in these Standards, both terms encompass 18-B representation in family law litigation, regardless of the client’s party status.

1.4.i. Mentor or Mentoring Attorney. An experienced attorney who provides training, consultation, and guidance to less experienced attorneys on the panel.

1.4.j. Panel. The ACP’s list of attorneys eligible to receive assignments, which should be limited to those in good standing and with the requisite skills and training.

1.4.k. Quality Representation. Representation of clients in a professional, skilled, ethical, and client-centered manner.

1.4.l. Supervising Attorney. An attorney who assists the Administrator in ensuring that each individual assigned counsel provides quality representation.

1.4.m. Chief Defender. A leader of a Public Defender office, Conflict Defender office, Legal Aid Society or, in the case of an ACP, the ACP Administrator.

PART II. COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Establishment and Maintenance of an Assigned Counsel Program

2. General Policies

2.1. ACP Requirement. Each county should establish and maintain an ACP that complies with these Standards.

2.1.a Regional Programs. Counties may agree to create a regional ACP to comply with these Standards and to promote the efficient delivery of services.

2.2. Quality Representation. Each ACP shall ensure the provision of professional, skilled, ethical, and client-centered legal representation for all clients.

2.3. Independence. Each ACP shall remain independent and free from improper influence and conflicts of interest.

2.3.a. Independent Office. The ACP shall not be part of a Legal Aid Society, Public Defender office, Conflict Defender office or County Attorney office.

2.3.b. Judicial Supervision. The ACP and individual assigned counsel should be subject to judicial supervision only in the same manner and to the same extent as applies to all other practicing lawyers.

2.3.c. Independent Function. The function of providing mandated representation—including the assignment, selection, funding, and payment of counsel—shall be independent.

3. Required Structure

3.1. Governing Law. Each county should establish an ACP pursuant to a plan of a bar association within the county.

3.2. ACP Board. To ensure that the management of the ACP is independent of all branches of county government, the ACP shall operate under the guidance of a governing Board.

3.2.a. Board Members. The majority of the Board's members shall be attorneys who are not judges; and no members of the Board shall hold a position as a prosecutor, law enforcement or government official.

3.2.b. Board Supervision. The Board shall appoint the ACP Administrator and may supervise the operation of the ACP and establish policies to support implementation of these Standards.

3.2.c. No Interference. The Board shall not interfere with the representation of individual clients.

3.2.d. Insurance. The ACP shall insure the Board and the Administrator, for all insurable risks incident to the operation of the ACP, to a dollar amount specified by the Board. The funding agency shall indemnify the Board and the Administrator for all liability arising from their authorized activities pursuant to the ACP.

3.3. Assigned Counsel Administrator. The Board shall appoint an Administrator to implement the policies and duties of the ACP.

3.3.a. Administrator Qualifications. The Administrator should be an attorney licensed in the State of New York who possesses administrative experience and skill in the representation of criminal defendants or adults in family law matters and who demonstrates integrity and a commitment to quality representation of public defense clients.

3.3.b. Administrator Selection. The Administrator shall be selected based on merit; appointed for a stated term set by the Board; serve full-time where feasible; if full-time, shall not engage in the private practice of law; and may be dismissed prior to the expiration of his or her term only for good cause, following a hearing.

3.3.c. Administrator Continuity. The ACP shall establish protocols to address personnel transitions in the operation of the Program.

3.3.d. Administrator Functions. The Administrator shall implement and ensure adherence to these Standards and ACP policies.

3.3.d.i. Delegating Duties. The Administrator may delegate day-to-day tasks to foster efficiency, but may not delegate ultimate responsibility for the Administrator's primary functions. The Administrator shall not delegate to a nonlawyer any duties for which legal training is needed.

3.3.d.ii. Spokesperson Role. The Administrator shall act as the spokesperson for the ACP in matters involving policy and the operation of the Program.

3.3.d.iii. Addressing Issues. The Administrator shall address matters that arise among the ACP, its attorneys, and other actors in the criminal justice and parental representation systems.

3.3.d.iv. Planning and Policy. The Administrator shall engage in planning and policy discussions with the county and other entities regarding decisions affecting the ACP, assigned lawyers, and public defense clients.

3.3.d.v. Assignment Process. The Administrator shall oversee the rotation and coordination of panel attorneys; implement a fair process for assignments; and ensure that the ability, training, and experience of panel attorneys is matched to the complexity of the cases to which they are assigned.

3.3.d.vi. Vouchers. The Administrator shall establish protocols for the review of assigned counsel vouchers for quality-review purposes and to ensure that attorney billing is accurate.

3.3.d.vii. Non-Attorney Professional Services. The Administrator shall approve applicants for the provision of investigative, social work or other professional services; and shall review vouchers submitted for such services.

B: Provision of Necessary Resources

4. ACP Capacity

4.1. Facilities. Each county shall provide suitable facilities so that the ACP can carry out its duties under County Law article 18-B and meet these Standards.

4.1.a. Office Space. Each county shall establish an administrative office for its ACP. Such administrative office shall have suitable space, technology, equipment, and supplies to facilitate independent, professional representation.

4.1.b. Technology. Each county shall provide its ACP with the technology necessary to effectively and efficiently administer the Program. Such technology shall enable the ACP to communicate efficiently with clients, courts, attorneys, and the public; to collect, analyze, and report on data; and to track caseloads.

4.2. Necessary Services. Each county shall ensure that its ACP provides assigned counsel with access to the following services necessary for quality representation.

4.2.a. Supervision. Each ACP shall ensure that its panel is appropriately supervised by an attorney or attorneys.

4.2.b. Mentoring. Each ACP shall ensure that every attorney new to the representation of public defense clients receives a mentor to help the attorney develop high professional standards and provide quality representation.

4.2.c. Consultation. Each ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to resources to assist in addressing complex or systemic issues arising during individual representation.

4.2.d. Training. Each ACP shall provide its panel with access to appropriate substantive, procedural, and practical training programs. The ACP shall also provide its panel with access to second-chair programs that can provide opportunities for less experienced attorneys to gain necessary trial experience.

4.3. Staffing. Each county shall provide its ACP with suitable personnel to carry out its duties under County Law § 722 (3) and comply with these Standards.

4.3.a. Supervising Attorney. The Administrator shall be responsible for the supervision of assigned counsel, and such responsibility may be delegated to one or more supervising attorneys.

4.3.b. Administrative Staff. The ACP shall include staff responsible for providing administrative services, which may include, but not be limited to, clerical support, data management, and budget and finance support.

4.3.b.i. Hiring Staff. The Administrator shall be responsible for assessing the administrative staff needs of the ACP and shall oversee the hiring of such staff.

4.3.b.ii. Client's Rights. The ACP shall ensure that all staff comply with the Statement of Client's Rights. *See* 22 NYCRR § 1210.1.

4.3.c. ACP Staff Salaries

4.3.c.i. The Administrator's compensation should be set at a level commensurate with the attorney's qualifications and experience and the responsibilities of the position. There should be a parity of compensation as between the Administrator and any other Chief Defender in the county.

4.3.c.ii. The starting pay for ACP legal and administrative staff should facilitate the recruitment of qualified personnel. Salary levels thereafter should promote the retention of staff. All salary levels should reflect parity as to similar positions in the prosecutor's office or local public defense offices.

4.4. Client Communication. The ACP shall work with justice system and other officials to ensure that adequate confidential meeting space for client interviews is provided in courthouses, jails, and prisons. The ACP shall similarly work with officials to establish means by which incarcerated clients can have confidential communication with their assigned counsel by telephone or otherwise.

4.5. Full Partnership. The ACP should have a voice in the county's efforts to maintain and improve the justice system.

4.6. Ensuring Adequacy of Facilities for Representation. The ACP shall require that all panel attorneys have the facilities necessary to provide quality representation.

4.6.a. Confidential Client Communication Facilities. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to meeting facilities and equipment as needed to ensure client confidentiality, including a means for clients to contact the attorney by telephone without the client having to incur burdensome charges.

4.6.b. Legal Research Capacity. ACP services and facilities shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to adequate research resources. The ACP is not obligated to provide these support services directly, but should strive to do so where feasible.

5. Early Representation

5.1. General. The ACP shall implement systematic procedures to ensure the prompt assignment of counsel for all persons eligible for mandated representation.

5.1.a. Assignment During Eligibility Determination. Provision of counsel shall not be delayed while a person's eligibility for mandated representation is being determined or verified.

5.1.b. Subsequent Appearances. Eligible persons shall have counsel at every court appearance.

5.2. Counsel in Criminal Cases. Counsel shall be provided as soon as possible to any persons who are subject to state action due to allegations of criminal conduct. The ACP, working with other components of the justice system, shall ensure the provision of counsel at first appearance. Upon request, the ACP shall provide counsel prior to the initiation of formal charges, when it appears that such charges, and mandated representation, are imminent.

5.3. Counsel for Litigants in Family Law Matters. The ACP shall provide counsel, upon request, to any person legally entitled to representation in family law matters; and the Program should make representation available during the investigatory stage of a child protective matter.

6. Duration and Continuity of Representation

6.1. Duration of Representation. The ACP shall ensure that all clients receive legal representation throughout the matter for which representation was approved.

6.2 Continuity of Representation. The ACP shall ensure representation by the same attorney throughout the trial level, unless the needs of the client or unavoidable circumstances require otherwise.

7. Budget and Funding

7.1. General. Each ACP shall be provided with sufficient funding to carry out its functions under County Law § 722 (3) and to ensure quality representation.

7.1.a. Periodic Review. Each county shall conduct periodic evaluation and review of the ACP budget and communicate the fiscal and programmatic needs of the ACP to ILS.

7.1.b. Compliance with all ILS Standards. The ACP and the county shall make known to ILS the state funding needed to comply with these and all other ILS standards.

7.1.c. Budget and Record-Keeping. The ACP shall prepare and submit a detailed budget to the county funding authority and shall maintain records and accounts of expenditures in accordance with accepted accounting practices and relevant laws and regulations.

7.1.d. Voucher Review. The county and ACP shall not delay the payment of vouchers or reduce the amount paid to reduce costs.

PART III. ACP RESPONSIBILITIES

A. General Responsibilities

8. Operational Responsibilities

8.1. Attorney Panels. The ACP shall create panels of attorneys who have demonstrated the skill, experience, and commitment needed to provide quality representation to public defense clients.

8.1.a. Differentiated Panels. To ensure the competence necessary for a given case, the ACP shall create specific types of panels based upon the category and complexity of the case.

8.1.b. Qualifications. The ACP shall create standards and a process for attorneys to apply to participate on the panel, including specific criteria for acceptance onto any subpanel.

8.1.c. Regional Recruitment. While recruitment for the panel may begin with the local bar association, all qualified attorneys shall be considered; and the opportunity to participate in the panel should be publicized to all attorneys within the ACP's county or region.

8.1.d. No Fee. The ACP shall not charge a fee for applying to, or remaining on, a panel.

8.1.e. Administrator Assignments. The selection of assigned counsel for a case should be made by, or at the direction of, the Administrator and should not be made by a judge or court official, except in an emergency, in exceptional circumstances or when an initial assignment of counsel in one court is continued by a judge in a court to which the case is transferred.

8.1.f. Geographic Areas. To ensure that assigned counsel are available at first appearance for every client, the ACP may establish geographic areas in which each assigned attorney may accept cases.

8.1.g. Malpractice Insurance. The ACP shall require all attorneys seeking appointment to the panel to provide evidence of adequate malpractice coverage, to a dollar amount specified by the ACP.

8.2. Requirement that Eligible Clients Receive Representation. The ACP shall utilize applicable ILS Eligibility Standards.

8.3. Procedures for Compensating Panel Attorneys. The ACP shall establish and maintain procedures for compensating assigned counsel.

8.3.a. Full Compensation. The ACP shall compensate assigned counsel for all hours necessary to provide quality legal representation.

8.3.b. Prompt Payment. The ACP shall develop and implement procedures for compensating panel attorneys that ensure prompt payment.

8.3.c. Additional Payment. Assigned counsel shall not seek to be privately retained to represent the client, shall not agree to be privately retained upon request of the client, and shall neither seek nor accept payment from a client or any other person. Noncompliance with this rule is a ground for removal from the panel. Assigned counsel should not seek nor accept payment from a client or any other source to supplement fees and expenses for non-attorney professional services authorized by the ACP.

8.3.d. Interim Vouchers. Procedures for compensating assigned counsel should include policies allowing for the payment of interim vouchers for fees and expenses.

8.3.e. Post-Disposition Work. Policies for compensating assigned counsel shall allow for the payment of vouchers in cases requiring post-disposition work.

8.3.f. Expenses. The ACP shall advise assigned counsel as to which expenses are reimbursable and shall promptly authorize reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

8.3.g. Changes in Procedures. The ACP shall provide assigned counsel with prompt, clear information regarding any changes to payment or reimbursement procedures. The ACP shall not retroactively apply any changes to such procedures.

8.4. Administrative Responsibilities for Panel Attorneys. The ACP shall establish clear, fair guidelines regarding the administrative responsibilities of panel attorneys.

8.5. Access to Appropriate Non-Attorney Professional Services. The ACP shall ensure that individual assigned counsel have access to the non-attorney professional services needed at every phase of the case.

8.5.a. Range of Services. Such professional services shall include access to investigatory, expert, social work, mental health, interpreter, and other relevant services.

8.5.b. Direct Services. The ACP is not obligated to provide these services directly, but should strive to do so where feasible.

8.6. Quality Assurance Procedures. The ACP shall develop and implement comprehensive quality assurance procedures, as set forth below.

B: Quality Assurance Provisions

9. General Provisions

9.1. Compliance with Applicable Standards. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel are aware of, and comply with, all applicable performance and ethical standards.

9.2. Client-Centered Representation. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel provide client-centered representation, which, at a minimum, shall include:

- 9.2.a. Contacting clients as soon as possible after appointment.
- 9.2.b. Promptly meeting with clients (whether in detention or not) prior to a court appearance, and as needed, in a space that complies with Standard 9.2.e.
- 9.2.c. Accepting telephone calls from clients, including from detention facilities.
- 9.2.d. Timely responding to client inquiries.
- 9.2.e. Ensuring that client privacy and the confidentiality of communications are protected.
- 9.2.f. Communicating relevant information about the case to the client in a timely and respectful manner, and using clear and understandable language, so that the client can make informed decisions.
- 9.2.g. Discussing relevant documents with the client and providing copies upon request.
- 9.2.h. Collaborating with the client to achieve the best possible result, consistent with the client's objectives.
- 9.2.i. Pursuing alternatives to incarceration where appropriate; providing accurate information about sentencing; reviewing the presentence report with the client, acting to correct errors in that report; and filing a defense presentence memorandum where appropriate.
- 9.2.j. Utilizing appropriate non-attorney professional services, such as investigators, expert witnesses, sentencing advocates, and social workers.
- 9.2.k. Determining, and explaining to clients, the collateral consequences of any course of action, and where appropriate, using the existence of these consequences to achieve better plea negotiations.
- 9.2.l. When representing adolescent and young adult clients—whether charged with criminal or delinquent behavior or facing loss of the opportunity to parent their children—developing expertise in adolescent development, custody and care of youth, and other unique needs of these clients.
- 9.2.m. Taking all necessary steps to protect, preserve, and enforce clients' post-conviction and appellate rights.

10. Attorney Capability

10.1. Knowledge and Experience. The ACP shall establish and maintain systems to ensure that assigned counsel have sufficient knowledge and experience to provide quality representation to clients.

10.2. Assessment of Attorneys. The ACP shall develop and maintain systems to (a) determine which levels of cases are appropriate for each attorney; (b) recertify panel attorneys; and (c) identify the training needs of panel attorneys.

11. Attorney Caseload

11.1. Attorney Caseloads. The ACP shall establish and maintain systems to ensure that caseloads comply with ILS Caseload Standards.²

11.1.a. Evaluation of Attorney Caseload. In assigning cases to panel attorneys, the ACP shall take into consideration: (a) the types of cases being handled; (b) the qualifications and experience of the attorneys; (c) the distance between the attorney's office and the courts or other relevant sites; (d) the time needed to interview clients and witnesses; (e) the attorneys' total workload, including the extent of the attorney's private practice; and (f) any other relevant factors.

11.1.b. Review of Attorney Caseload. The ACP shall review attorney caseloads on a regular basis.

12. Training

12.1. Orientation. For new panel members, the ACP shall provide a mandatory orientation, which should include a discussion of expectations for quality representation and administrative procedures.

12.2. Initial Training. The ACP shall ensure that panel attorneys receive appropriate training prior to any case assignments. The ACP may directly provide, or financially support, this training, but is not required to do so.

12.3. Ongoing Training

12.3.a. Obtaining CLE Training. The ACP shall ensure that all assigned counsel obtain continuing legal education (CLE) and other training needed so that their skills and knowledge will enable them to provide quality representation. The ACP should encourage panel attorneys to utilize national, regional, state, and local sources of training.

12.3.b. Mandated Representation Topics. The ACP shall ensure that all assigned counsel allocate a significant portion of their mandatory CLE credit requirement to courses related to the subject matter of the mandated representation they provide.

12.3.c. Monitoring CLE Programs. The ACP shall monitor CLE programs attended by assigned counsel.

²ILS, Determination of Caseload Standards pursuant to § IV of the *Hurrell-Harring v. The State of New York* Settlement (2016), <https://www.ils.ny.gov/files/Hurrell-Harring/Caseload%20Reduction/Caseload%20Standards%20Report%20Final%20120816.pdf> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019). See Executive Law § 832 (4) (b).

12.3.d. Providing Affordable Programs. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to high-quality free or affordable CLE and other training programs relevant to their work.

13. Supervision and Mentoring

13.1. Use of ACP Resources. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel are aware of, and utilize, the services described in Section 4.2 of these Standards.

14. Performance Review and Remediation

14.1. Review of Performance and Remediation Policies. The ACP shall provide assigned counsel with meaningful, periodic evaluation of their work, based on objective criteria, and shall publicize the criteria applied.

14.2. Complaint Procedures. The ACP shall establish procedures for the receipt, investigation, and resolution of complaints from clients, client family members, co-counsel, opposing counsel, the judiciary, and any other relevant source.

14.3. Remediation. The ACP shall establish policies for remediation to be employed when an attorney's performance fails to satisfy applicable criteria and standards.

**New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services
Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs
BLACK LETTER STANDARDS WITH COMMENTARIES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. Preamble	1
1.1. Applicability	2
Commentary.....	2
1.2. Scope.....	2
1.3. Purpose.....	3
Commentary.....	3
1.4. Definitions.....	3
1.4.a. Administrator	3
1.4.b. Assigned Counsel.....	3
1.4.c. Assigned Counsel Program	3
1.4.d. Clients	3
1.4.e. Counties.....	3
1.4.f. Independence	3
1.4.g. Judge	3
1.4.h. Mandated Representation.....	4
1.4.i. Mentor or Mentoring Attorney	4
1.4.j. Panel.....	4
1.4.k. Quality Representation	4
1.4.l. Supervising Attorney	4
1.4.m. Chief Defender.....	4

PART II. COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Establishment and Maintenance of an Assigned Counsel Program

2. General Policies	4
2.1. ACP Requirement	4
Commentary.....	4
2.1.a. Regional Programs	5
Commentary.....	5
2.2. Quality Representation.....	5
2.3. Independence	5
2.3.a. Independent Office.....	6
2.3.b. Judicial Supervision.....	6
2.3.c. Independent Function.....	6
Commentary.....	6

3. Required Structure	6
3.1. Governing Law	6
Commentary	6
3.2. ACP Board	7
Commentary	7
3.2.a. Board Members	7
Commentary	7
3.2.b. Board Supervision.....	8
3.2.c. No Interference.....	8
3.2.d. Insurance	8
Commentary	8
3.3. Assigned Counsel Administrator	8
3.3.a. Administrator Qualifications.....	8
Commentary	9
3.3.b. Administrator Selection	9
3.3.c. Administrator Continuity	9
3.3.d. Administrator Functions	9
3.3.d.i. Delegating Duties	9
3.3.d.ii. Spokesperson Role.....	9
3.3.d.iii. Addressing Issues	9
3.3.d.iv. Planning and Policy	10
3.3.d.v. Assignment Process	10
Commentary	10
3.3.d.vi. Vouchers	10
3.3.d.vii. Non-Attorney Professional Services.....	10

B. Provision of Necessary Resources

4. ACP Capacity	11
4.1. Facilities.....	11
4.1.a. Office Space	11
4.1.b. Technology	11
Commentary	11
4.2. Necessary Services.....	11
4.2.a. Supervision.....	11
Commentary	11
4.2.b. Mentoring.....	12
Commentary	12
4.2.c. Consultation	13
Commentary	13
4.2.d. Training.....	13
4.3. Staffing.....	13
4.3.a. Supervising Attorney	13
Commentary	13

4.3.b. Administrative Staff.....	14
4.3.b.i. Hiring Staff.....	14
4.3.b.ii. Client’s Rights.....	14
4.3.c. ACP Staff Salaries.....	14
4.3.c.i. Administrator’s Compensation.....	14
4.3.ii. Staff Compensation.....	14
4.4. Client Communication.....	14
4.5. Full Partnership.....	14
Commentary.....	14
4.6. Ensuring Adequacy of Facilities for Representation.....	15
4.6.a Confidential Client Communication Facilities.....	15
4.6.b. Legal Research Capacity.....	15
5. Early Representation.....	15
5.1. General.....	15
5.1.a. Assignment During Eligibility Determination.....	15
5.1.b. Subsequent Appearances.....	15
5.2. Counsel in Criminal Cases.....	15
Commentary.....	15
5.3. Counsel for Litigants in Family Law Matters.....	16
Commentary.....	16
6. Duration and Continuity of Representation.....	17
6.1. Duration of Representation.....	17
Commentary.....	17
6.2. Continuity of Representation.....	18
7. Budget and Funding.....	18
7.1. General.....	18
Commentary.....	18
7.1.a. Periodic Review.....	18
7.1.b. Compliance with ILS Standards.....	19
7.1.c. Budget and Record-Keeping.....	19
7.1.d. Voucher Review.....	19

III. ACP RESPONSIBILITIES

A. General Responsibilities

8. Operational Responsibilities.....	19
8.1. Attorney Panels.....	19
8.1.a. Differentiated Panels.....	19

8.1.b. Qualifications	19
8.1.c Regional Recruitment.....	19
Commentary.....	19
8.1.d. No Fee.....	19
8.1.e. Administrator Assignments	19
Commentary.....	20
8.1.f. Geographic Areas	20
Commentary.....	20
8.1.g. Malpractice Coverage	20
8.2. Requirement that Eligible Clients Receive Representation	20
8.3. Procedures for Compensating Panel Attorneys	20
8.3.a. Full Compensation	20
8.3.b. Prompt Payment.....	20
8.3.c. Additional Payment.....	21
Commentary.....	21
8.3.d. Interim Vouchers	21
Commentary.....	21
8.3.e. Post-Disposition Work.....	21
Commentary.....	21
8.3.f. Expenses	22
8.3.g. Changes in Procedures.....	22
8.4. Administrative Responsibilities for Panel Attorneys.....	22
8.5. Access to Appropriate Non-Attorney Professional Services	22
8.5.a. Range of Services.....	22
8.5.b. Direct Services	22
8.6. Quality Assurance Procedures	22

B. Quality Assurance Provisions

9. General Provisions	22
9.1. Compliance with Applicable Standards.....	22
9.2. Client-Centered Representation	22
9.2.a. Contacting Clients	22
9.2.b. Promptly Meeting	22
9.2.c. Accepting Phone Calls	22
9.2.d. Timely Responding	22
9.2.e. Protecting Confidentiality	22
9.2.f. Updating the Client.....	23
9.2.g. Discussing Relevant Documents.....	23
9.2.h. Collaborating with the Client.....	23
9.2.i. Pursuing Alternatives to Incarceration	23
9.2.j. Using Non-Attorney Professional Services	23
9.2.k. Explaining Collateral Consequences	23
Commentary.....	23
9.2.l. Representing Young Clients	24

Commentary	24
9.2.m. Preserving Appellate Rights	25
Commentary	25
10. Attorney Capability	26
10.1. Knowledge and Experience	26
10.2. Assessment of Attorneys.....	26
11. Attorney Caseload	26
11.1. Attorney Caseloads	26
11.1.a. Evaluation of Attorney Caseload	26
11.1.b. Review of Attorney Caseload	26
12. Training	26
12.1. Orientation	26
12.2. Initial Training	26
12.3. Ongoing Training.....	26
12.a. Obtaining CLE Training	26
12.b. Mandated Representation Topics.....	27
12.c. Monitoring CLE Programs.....	27
12.d. Providing Affordable Programs.....	27
Commentary.....	27
13. Supervision and Mentoring	28
13.1. Use of ACP Resources.....	28
14. Performance Review and Remediation.....	28
14.1. Review of Performance and Remediation Policies.....	28
14.2. Compliant Procedures.....	28
14.3. Remediation	28
Commentary.....	28

**New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services
Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs
BLACK LETTER STANDARDS WITH COMMENTARIES**

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. Preamble

Well-designed, properly maintained, and adequately funded assigned counsel programs (ACPs or Programs) play a vital role in ensuring justice for clients who cannot afford to retain an attorney in criminal defense or family law matters. Every county in New York State depends upon assigned counsel to provide representation for public defense clients. In several counties, ACPs are the primary or sole provider of mandated representation. In most counties, where public defender offices or legal aid societies are the primary providers, the mandated representation of some eligible individuals presents conflicts of interest requiring the assignment of private attorneys.

For compelling reasons beyond conflicts of interest, the continuing involvement of the private bar is essential to the success of public defense reform efforts. First and foremost, effective public defense requires a robust competition of ideas among practitioners with a broad range of perspectives. By bringing their experiences representing private clients to public defense, private assigned counsel may show staff attorneys new and different ways of doing things, thus helping to ensure that public defense practice remains rich and innovative. Further, private attorneys who represent public defense clients can serve as effective ambassadors to bar associations, legislatures, community groups, and others. They can educate the public and system stakeholders about the needs of the criminal justice system and promote funding and initiatives that will ensure quality public defense. Finally, when public defenders face unanticipated fluctuations in staffing and caseloads, the private bar can help achieve administrative stability and quality of representation.

County Law article 18-B, enacted in 1965, delegates to localities the responsibility for public defense services. Section 722 sets out the types of providers that counties may employ to fulfill the right to counsel. One permissible mechanism is a bar association program in which an Administrator rotates assignments and administers the services of private counsel. However, County Law § 722 provides no details as to the proper establishment of ACPs, so counties and bar associations have created and maintained programs with little guidance. To aid counties and ACP Administrators and to ensure quality representation, the State Office of Indigent Legal Services (ILS), in consultation with the ILS Board, promulgates these Standards for Establishing and Administering Assigned Counsel Programs (Standards), pursuant to Executive Law § 832.

These Standards draw from existing national, state, and local standards; developments in ACPs over the last half-century; and the experience and knowledge of the Standards Working Group and ILS staff. Materials consulted include: New York State Bar Association (NYSBA) Revised Standards for Providing Mandated Representation (NYSBA Revised Standards); National Legal Aid and Defender Association (NLADA) Standards for the Administration of Assigned Counsel Systems (NLADA ACS Standards); and standards promulgated by the New York State Defenders Association (NYSDA), including Standards for Providing Constitutionally and Statutorily Mandated Representation in New York State (NYSDA Standards for Mandated Representation),

and by the American Bar Association (ABA). These Standards reference, and should be read in conjunction with, other relevant ILS standards listed in the Commentary to Standard 1.2, *infra*.

ILS has created these Standards to help ACPs ensure that panel attorneys can comply with all applicable individual representation standards and with New York Rules of Professional Conduct. There are many unique and challenging aspects of assigned counsel representation. For instance, where judges select attorneys to handle public defense cases, those attorneys may be concerned that zealous representation could discourage future assignments. Attorneys may sometimes feel pressure to consider the fiscal interests of the government, which may be adverse to the needs of clients. These pressures can be exacerbated for the many panel attorneys who depend on assignments as part of a solo or small law practice.

These challenges must not result in any compromise in the quality of representation provided to public defense clients or the independence of panel attorneys. *Gideon v Wainwright*, 372 US 335, 345 (1963), established the right of state criminal defendants to the “guiding hand of counsel at every step in the proceedings.” Implicit in that concept is “the assumption that counsel will be free of state control. There can be no fair trial unless the accused receives the services of an effective and independent advocate.” *Polk County v Dodson*, 454 US 312, 322 (1981). The government must adequately fund public defense services and structure ACPs so that lawyers can remain independent, meet their ethical obligations, and deliver quality representation. ILS and its Board will continue to work with stakeholders to secure the funding necessary for compliance with these Standards.

1.1. Applicability. These Standards apply to all existing and future systems in the state for the delivery of mandated representation by assigned counsel.

Commentary:

These Standards encompass criminal defense, family law, and appellate representation of assigned counsel clients. They are being issued at a time when state funding is being made available to counties pursuant to the statewide implementation of reforms (*see* Executive Law § 832 [4]) stemming from the settlement of a class action lawsuit regarding constitutional violations in the provision of mandated criminal representation in five named counties.¹ ILS will assist counties in utilizing such funding to develop or improve ACPs that adhere to these Standards.

1.2. Scope. These Standards are designed to guide ACPs to ensure that attorneys can comply with relevant performance standards in providing mandated representation. Essential elements of the representation of individual clients are summarized in Standard 9.2, but are more fully covered in other standards, including: ILS Standards for Parental Representation in State Intervention Matters, ILS Appellate Standards and Best Practices (ILS Appellate Standards), and ILS Standards and Criteria for the Provision of Mandated Representation in Cases Involving a Conflict of Interest (ILS Conflict Standards²); NYSDA standards,

¹Information about the settlement in *Hurrell-Harring v State of New York* is available on the ILS website. <https://www.ils.ny.gov/content/hurrell-harring-settlement-information> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

²ILS Conflict Standards have applied to all trial-level mandated representation cases since Jan. 1, 2013. <https://www.ils.ny.gov/content/standards-and-performance-criteria> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

including Client-Centered Representation Standards (NYSDA Client-Centered Standards); NYSBA Revised Standards; ABA Criminal Justice Standards for the Defense Function (4th ed); and NLADA Performance Guidelines for Criminal Defense Representation (NLADA Performance Guidelines).

1.3. Purpose. These Standards set out the structure and components of ACPs necessary to ensure quality representation.

Commentary:

When ACPs have a proper structure and resources, quality representation can be provided. That has been proven by the Programs developed in *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement counties, as well as in other counties with effective ACPs. Such ACPs stand as models for how these Standards can guide counties in developing or improving Programs that promote high-quality representation. As ACPs continue to develop and progress over time, new and even more effective models will emerge. Building a strong structure and imposing quality-control measures can reduce the risk of wrongful convictions, as pointed out by a State Bar report.³

1.4. Definitions.

1.4.a. Administrator. The organizational leader who administers the ACP and ensures that these Standards are met.

1.4.b. Assigned Counsel. A private attorney or attorneys, other than an attorney or attorneys employed by an institutional provider, representing public defense clients.

1.4.c. Assigned Counsel Program (ACP). An entity that sets forth protocols and policies for assigning attorneys to public defense clients and ensures that those attorneys provide quality representation.

1.4.d. Clients. Persons entitled to representation in criminal defense and family law matters under County Law article 18-B.

1.4.e. Counties. All 62 counties in the state: the 57 upstate counties and the five boroughs of New York City.

1.4.f. Independence. Freedom from improper influence and control by an outside entity, to ensure that ACPs and assigned counsel make decisions based solely on the interests of clients.

1.4.g. Judge. Judges, magistrates, and any other persons with adjudicative powers over clients eligible for mandated representation.

³See Final Report of the NYSBA Task Force on Wrongful Convictions, Defense Practices Subcommittee Report and Final Proposals (NYSBA Wrong Convictions Report), at 121-123 (expressing concerns about quality control regarding qualifications and performance of assigned counsel and the adequacy of resources for oversight, consultation, investigation, and case preparation).

1.4.h. Mandated Representation. Government-funded legal representation that is constitutionally or statutorily required. “Mandated representation” is used interchangeably with “public defense representation.” As employed in these Standards, both terms encompass 18-B representation in family law litigation, regardless of the client’s party status.

1.4.i. Mentor or Mentoring Attorney. An experienced attorney who provides training, consultation, and guidance to less experienced attorneys on the panel.

1.4.j. Panel. The ACP’s list of attorneys eligible to receive assignments, which should be limited to those in good standing and with the requisite skills and training.

1.4.k. Quality Representation. Representation of clients in a professional, skilled, ethical, and client-centered manner.

1.4.l. Supervising Attorney. An attorney who assists the Administrator in ensuring that each individual assigned counsel provides quality representation.

1.4.m. Chief Defender. A leader of a Public Defender office, Conflict Defender office or Legal Aid Society or, in the case of an ACP, the ACP Administrator.

PART II. COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Establishment and Maintenance of an Assigned Counsel Program

2. General Policies

2.1. ACP Requirement. Each county should establish and maintain an ACP that complies with these Standards.

Commentary:

Adherence to these Standards is fundamental to providing quality public defense services and will factor strongly in ILS’s approval of ACPs, pursuant to its statutory authority under County Law § 772 (3) (b), (c) (L 2018, ch 55, pt MM, §§ 1, 2, eff. April 1, 2019). As set forth in Section 3 of these Standards, the ACP shall be established pursuant to governing law; shall operate under the guidance of an independent governing Board; and shall be headed by an Administrator who implements the Program’s policies and duties. County Law § 722 provides alternate structures to deliver such services. A locality may use institutional providers to handle cases that present a conflict of interest as to the primary provider.⁴ However, setting up an “institutional provider only” system deprives counties, public defense lawyers, and their clients, of the potential benefits of a well-run ACP. In the decades since *Gideon v Wainwright*, *supra*, was decided, experience in providing public defense services has demonstrated the value of institutional providers *and* formal

⁴See *Matter of New York County Lawyers’ Assn. v Bloomberg*, 19 NY3d 712, 722 (2012).

ACPs in ensuring quality representation.⁵ Some cases will require appointment of lawyers from outside even multiple institutional providers. Moreover, as set forth in the Preamble, significant systemic benefits flow from the active participation of the private bar in providing public defense services.

County plans should include the services of a full-time defender organization when population and caseloads are sufficient to support such an organization.⁶ Fully funded institutional providers can develop special expertise in public defense cases; provide client-centered representation by including investigators, social workers, and other necessary professionals on staff; and advocate for improvements in the criminal defense and family law systems. Nationally, all major urban jurisdictions include an institutional public defense provider.

2.1.a Regional Programs. Counties may agree to create a regional ACP to comply with these Standards and to promote the efficient delivery of services.

Commentary:

In many rural counties, too few attorneys are available for assigned cases, so the time and expense needed to develop an ACP that meets these Standards might appear to be too great. One solution is a regional program, whereby two or more neighboring counties pool resources to create one program with multi-county administrative responsibilities. This approach is most feasible in counties where many attorneys practice in both jurisdictions and/or where one of the counties has an established ACP that can administer the ACP in the other county. The shared service agreement between Tompkins and Schuyler counties, created in implementing the *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement, provides a model approach and is consistent with statewide efforts to help municipalities identify opportunities for cost savings through inter-municipal cooperation, reorganization, and regionalization.⁷

2.2. Quality Representation. Each ACP shall ensure the provision of professional, skilled, ethical, and client-centered legal representation for all clients.

2.3. Independence. Each ACP shall remain independent and free from improper influence and conflicts of interest.

⁵NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 3.1 (b) states: “Jurisdictions that rely in whole or in part upon assigned counsel for the provision of defense services shall consider whether and how to combine assigned counsel with one or more other methods of providing representation.”

http://www.nlada.net/sites/default/files/nlada_standardsforassignedcounsel_1989.pdf

(last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

ABA Standards for Criminal Justice, Providing Defense Services (ABA Defense Services Standards), Standard 5-1.2 (b) states: “Every system should include the active and substantial participation of the private bar. That participation should be through a coordinated assigned-counsel system and may also include contracts for services. No program should be precluded from representing clients in any particular type or category of case.”

https://www.americanbar.org/groups/criminal_justice/publications/criminal_justice_section_archive/criminal_justice_standards_defsvcs_blk/#1.2 (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁶ABA Defense Services Standards, Standard 5-1.2 (a).

⁷See New York State Department of State Division of Local Government Services website.

<https://www.dos.ny.gov/lg> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

2.3.a. Independent Office. The ACP shall not be part of a Legal Aid Society, Public Defender office, Conflict Defender office or County Attorney office.

2.3.b. Judicial Supervision. The ACP and individual assigned counsel should be subject to judicial supervision only in the same manner and to the same extent as applies to all other practicing lawyers.

2.3.c. Independent Function. The function of providing mandated representation—including the assignment, selection, funding, and payment of counsel—shall be independent.

Commentary:

Protecting the interests of assigned counsel clients is the paramount concern of the ACP. The Program and its attorneys must remain insulated from conflicts of interest, political influence, and other outside pressures that could compromise the integrity of the Program or the ability of counsel to provide quality mandated representation. The delegation of ACP administration to a Legal Aid Society, Public Defender, Conflict Defender or County Attorney presents a clear conflict of interest and diminishes the independence of the ACP.

The ACP may be structured as a nonprofit organization or county agency or in any other form that will allow it to remain free from improper outside influence and control. The administrative structure of the ACP, as set forth in Part III, will help safeguard the independence of the Program. Generally, the ACP Administrator, not a judge or court official, should select the individual attorneys to be assigned to each case, except in emergency situations or exceptional circumstances, as explained in Standard 8.1.e. This approach is designed to help ensure that representation is not inappropriately influenced by the selection process, while at the same time ensuring that assignments are fairly distributed. Further, absent a panel attorney's violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct or other relevant standard, the ACP should not interfere with the representation provided in assigned cases. The funding provided to ACPs must be based on the amount needed to allow for the sound administration of the Programs and to enable panel attorneys to deliver quality representation to all clients. The review of vouchers and payments to counsel must be independent and rest on services rendered and expenses incurred and other relevant considerations, not a desire to reduce county costs.

3. Required Structure

3.1. Governing Law. Each county should establish an ACP pursuant to a plan of a bar association within the county.

Commentary:

This Standard addresses the creation of ACPs pursuant to a bar association plan under County Law § 722 (3) (a) (i) (representation is to be furnished pursuant to a plan of a bar association in each county, or the city in which a county is wholly contained, whereby services of private counsel are rotated and coordinated by an Administrator). Having bar associations, rather than government units, establish ACPs helps ensure independence. Bar associations across the state have been

establishing ACPs at least since the introduction of the legislation that became County Law § 722.⁸ Bar associations—whether at the local, state, or national level—encompass the experience of lawyers in many fields. Involving bar associations in the design of a plan for mandated representation helps ensure that this vital legal area is not marginalized.

3.2. ACP Board. To ensure that the management of the ACP is independent of all branches of county government, the ACP shall operate under the guidance of a governing Board.

Commentary:

An independent governing Board can help ensure that public defense services are insulated from inappropriate influences. *See* Preamble and Standard 2.3. Public defense standards have long called for ACPs to have independent boards. The NLADA ACS Standards include this requirement.⁹ The NLADA noted that independence might best be served by having appointments made by a variety of entities. The Board should reflect the broad experiences and knowledge necessary to ensure client-centered representation. Legal training alone is not enough. A range of expertise should be represented, and members of the client community could serve on the Board.¹⁰

3.2.a. Board Members. The majority of the Board’s members shall be attorneys who are not judges; and no members of the Board shall hold a position as a prosecutor, law enforcement or government official.

Commentary:

“To safeguard independence and to promote efficiency and quality of services, a nonpartisan board should oversee defender, assigned counsel, or contract systems,” as declared in the ABA Ten Principles of a Public Defense Delivery System (2002) (ABA Ten Principles), Principle 1, Commentary.¹¹ For public defense lawyers to provide ethical representation, their assignment, supervision, and compensation must be unconnected to individuals and entities whose interests conflict with those of clients. Therefore, the Board should not include individuals who perform adversarial functions, such as criminal prosecution, law enforcement or state intervention in criminal or family matters where counsel may be appointed. Nor should

⁸A letter of the Warren County Bar Association stated that, at a well-attended meeting on April 14, 1965, the Association voted to support the bill’s passage and adopted a plan for representation intended to bring about “early improvement in the quality of representation for indigent defendants in Warren County.”

⁹NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 3.2.1.

(a) The Assigned Counsel Program shall be operated under the aegis of a general governing body, the Board. (b) Most of the members shall be attorneys but none shall be judges, prosecutors or law enforcement officials. (c) Members shall not receive a salary but shall be reimbursed for reasonable, actual and necessary expenses. (d) Terms of office shall be staggered.

¹⁰*See* National Study Commission on Defense Services Guidelines for Legal Defense Systems in the United States (1976) (NSC Guidelines), Commentary at 73, note 3. “[I]t is recommended that at least one member of the Board not be an attorney; this person could represent the client community, or another non-legal segment of the community. Diversity of interests should ensure insulation from partisan politics.”

<https://www.justice.gov/atj/publication/guidelines-legal-defense-systems-united-states-report-national-study-commission> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

¹¹https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/legal_aid_indigent_defendants/ls_sclaid_def_tenprinciplesbooklet.authcheckdam.pdf (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

Boards include officials whose duties to safeguard government interests might conflict with the goal of quality representation.

Some authorities, such as the NLADA ACS Standards cited in footnote 9, would prohibit judges from participating as members of an ACP Board. We believe that a limited role for judges is appropriate and does not contravene the overriding principle that the Board be nonpartisan and supportive of high-quality representation for clients. Our own experience with the ILS Board, which has two judges among its nine members, supports this conclusion; as does our experience with governing boards of effective ACPs in several New York counties, including Erie and Onondaga.

3.2.b. Board Supervision. The Board shall appoint the ACP Administrator and may supervise the operation of the ACP and establish policies to support implementation of these Standards.

3.2.c. No Interference. The Board shall not interfere with the representation of individual clients.

3.2.d. Insurance. The ACP shall insure the Board and the Administrator, for all insurable risks incident to the operation of the ACP, to a dollar amount specified by the Board. The funding agency shall indemnify the Board and the Administrator for all liability arising from their authorized activities pursuant to the ACP.

Commentary:

The ACP must obtain insurance to fully protect the ACP Board, Administrator, and staff for all insurable risks associated with the operation of the ACP. The Program could be sued for a risk that was uninsurable or for which the Program was not sufficiently insured. Therefore, in addition, the Board and Administrator should be fully indemnified for any liability flowing from their ACP activities. As set forth in Standard 8.1.g, all attorneys seeking appointment to the ACP panel must have sufficient professional liability insurance coverage related to their representation of clients. In furtherance of such requirement, the ACP may wish to negotiate with an insurance carrier to provide not only the liability insurance relating to ACP governance, but also malpractice insurance for assigned attorneys, to offer favorable group rates to counsel.

3.3. Assigned Counsel Administrator. The Board shall appoint an Administrator to implement the policies and duties of the ACP.

3.3.a. Administrator Qualifications. The Administrator should be an attorney licensed in the State of New York who possesses administrative experience and skill in the representation of criminal defendants or adults in family law matters and who demonstrates integrity and a commitment to quality representation of public defense clients.

Commentary:

Soon after the enactment of County Law article 18-B, the Judicial Conference offered guidance to counties in a November 16, 1965 memo regarding the establishment of public defense systems. “The administrator of a plan should be an attorney other than a judge, a county attorney, a public defender or a legal aid official.” Over 40 years later, then Chief Administrative Judge Jonathan Lippman wrote to the then President of the Cortland County Bar Association: “We have long required that the administrator of a plan should be an attorney other than a judge, a county attorney, a public defender or legal aid official.” These Standards will continue to adhere to the guidance provided by the Judicial Conference and Judge Lippman regarding Administrator qualifications.¹² It may not always be feasible for counties with small attorney panels to have an attorney as ACP Administrator. In such circumstances, the ACP must employ a Supervising Attorney. *See* Standard 4.3.a.

3.3.b. Administrator Selection. The Administrator shall be selected based on merit; appointed for a stated term set by the Board; serve full-time where feasible; if full-time, shall not engage in the private practice of law; and may be dismissed prior to the expiration of his or her term only for good cause, following a hearing.

3.3.c. Administrator Continuity. The ACP shall establish protocols to address personnel transitions in the operation of the Program.

3.3.d. Administrator Functions. The Administrator shall implement and ensure adherence to these Standards and ACP policies.

3.3.d.i. Delegating Duties. The Administrator may delegate day-to-day tasks to foster efficiency, but may not delegate ultimate responsibility for the Administrator’s primary functions. The Administrator shall not delegate to a nonlawyer any duties for which legal training is needed.

3.3.d.ii. Spokesperson Role. The Administrator shall act as the spokesperson for the ACP in matters involving policy and the operation of the Program.

3.3.d.iii. Addressing Issues. The Administrator shall address matters that arise among the ACP, its attorneys, and other actors in the criminal justice and parental representation systems.

¹²National standards echo the views of the Judicial Conference and Judge Lippman regarding ACP Administrator qualifications. *See e.g.* NSC Guidelines, Guideline 2.14 (ACP should be administered by qualified attorney, licensed in jurisdiction where system operates, with experience in criminal defense and administration and ability to work cooperatively with other elements of criminal justice system, while retaining independence); *see also* NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 3.3.2 (administrator shall be attorney, licensed to practice in the jurisdiction where program operates, with experience in criminal defense and administration and possessing reputation for integrity and commitment to program principles). The above standards do not address the training or experience Administrators should have regarding mandated parental representation.

3.3.d.iv. Planning and Policy. The Administrator shall engage in planning and policy discussions with the county and other entities regarding decisions affecting the ACP, assigned lawyers, and public defense clients.

3.3.d.v. Assignment Process. The Administrator shall oversee the rotation and coordination of panel attorneys; implement a fair process for assignments; and ensure that the ability, training, and experience of panel attorneys is matched to the complexity of the cases to which they are assigned.

Commentary:

While County Law § 722 (3) (1) (a) does not provide a definition of “rotated” and “coordinated,” national standards and New York experiences provide guidance. The County Law’s directive to rotate services was a harbinger of later national efforts to avoid the harms inherent in ad hoc appointments discussed in these Standards, Standard 2.3, Commentary. The first edition of the ABA Defense Services Standards noted, in the Commentary to Standard 5-2.3: “The principle that assignments should be rotated, except where special circumstances have placed the selection of a lawyer out of rotation, has been incorporated in the plan recently adopted in New York City...pursuant to Article 18-B...” Other standards followed suit. *See e.g.* NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 4.1 (e). Special circumstances that may require making an assignment out of rotation include conflicts of interest, previous representation of a client, and special expertise. Other standards contain the requirement that an attorney possess qualifications commensurate with the complexity of a given case.¹³ This Standard makes explicit the Administrator’s duties as to supervisory and training functions, and expresses that ACP Administrators, no less than other Chief Defenders, have a systemic role to play as part of coordinating assigned counsel services.

3.3.d.vi. Vouchers. The Administrator shall establish protocols for the review of assigned counsel vouchers for quality-review purposes and to ensure that attorney billing is accurate.

3.3.d.vii. Non-Attorney Professional Services. The Administrator shall approve applications for the provision of investigative, social work or other professional services; and shall review vouchers submitted for such services.

¹³*See e.g.* ABA Ten Principles, Principle 6 (defense counsel’s ability, training, and experience match complexity of case).

www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/legal_aid_indigent_defendants/ls_sclaid_def_tenprinciplesbooklet.authcheckdam.pdf (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019);

NYSDA Board of Directors, Governing Principles for Public Defense Services (2000) (public defense system must assure that complexity of case is matched to ability of attorney).

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.nysda.org/resource/resmgr/PDFs--Resolutions/00_GoverningPrinciplesAdopte.pdf (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019);

B: Provision of Necessary Resources

4. ACP Capacity

4.1. Facilities. Each county shall provide suitable facilities so that the ACP can carry out its duties under County Law article 18-B and meet these Standards.

4.1.a. Office Space. Each county shall establish an administrative office for its ACP. Such administrative office shall have suitable space, technology, equipment, and supplies to facilitate independent, professional representation.

4.1.b. Technology. Each county shall provide its ACP with the technology necessary to effectively and efficiently administer the Program. Such technology shall enable the ACP to communicate efficiently with clients, courts, attorneys, and the public; to collect, analyze, and report on data; and to track caseloads.

Commentary:

The facilities, office space, equipment, technology, and supplies provided must allow the ACP to operate effectively and efficiently in a professional work environment; to carry on its activities independently from other entities, including other legal service providers within the same county; and to conduct confidential communications among management and staff. The Program should ensure that private meeting space is available to panel attorneys for in-person meetings with clients and confidential phone conversations with incarcerated clients. *See* Standards 4.4 and 4.6.

4.2. Necessary Services. Each county shall ensure that its ACP provides assigned counsel with access to the following services necessary for quality representation.

4.2.a. Supervision. Each ACP shall ensure that its panel is appropriately supervised by an attorney or attorneys.

Commentary:

Structured supervision is vital to quality representation. The supervision requirement is consistent with the reforms delineated in the *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement, which includes a mandate that affected counties adopt initiatives to improve the quality of indigent defense as to supervision. Statewide *Hurrell-Harring* reforms include supervision as a critical component of quality improvement. *See* Executive Law § 832 (4) (c). This Standard will help effectuate a critical NYSBA Task Force proposal, stating that Administrators should be provided with adequate resources to better monitor attorney performance and develop structured supervision and consultation.¹⁴ The need for supervision is equally important in family law matters.

¹⁴*See* NYSBA Wrongful Convictions Report, at 126; *see also* Indigent Defense Organization Oversight Committee, General Requirements for All Organized Providers of Defense Services to Indigent Defendants (July 1, 1996, as amended May 2011), Section IV (A) (Supervision) (quality representation requires adequate supervision for lawyers and professionals providing support services; supervision should monitor compliance with client needs and court requirements).

4.2.b. Mentoring. Each ACP shall ensure that every attorney new to the representation of public defense clients receives a mentor to help the attorney develop high professional standards and provide quality representation.

Commentary:

Mentoring involves more experienced attorneys working closely with less experienced attorneys to foster their professional growth and development.¹⁵ It is a well-recognized means of helping new attorneys develop criminal defense or family law representation skills, acquire legal knowledge, build confidence and competence, and enhance professionalism.¹⁶ Mentoring also promotes a culture of collaboration among attorneys. The NLADA ACS Standards provide that assigned counsel systems “shall establish a policy with regard to the provision of mentors—more experienced, competent attorneys—to advise less experienced attorneys...”¹⁷ In its 2010 report on ACPs, the American Council of Chief Defenders (ACCD) highlighted, as a critical component of new attorney training, mentor programs in Erie County, New York, and Connecticut.¹⁸ In 2015, the Texas Indigent Defense Commission contracted with the NLADA to publish a handbook for creating mentor programs for ACPs. The Texas Commission explained that mentoring is a way to provide new lawyers with substantive skills and access to a network of public defense colleagues to call upon for support.¹⁹ The mentoring guide is a useful tool for any ACP seeking to establish a mentor program.²⁰

A number of ACPs in New York have already developed mentoring programs. These include the Erie County program highlighted in the ACCD’s 2010 Report.²¹ More recently, ACPs in the *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement counties (Onondaga, Ontario, Schuyler, Suffolk, and Washington) have implemented mentoring programs, which range in size and formality, depending on the needs of the county’s ACP. Westchester County recently implemented a pilot mentoring project modeled on these programs. The Onondaga County program, the largest and most formalized such program in the Settlement counties, includes eight to 12 mentors. New panel attorneys with minimal criminal defense experience are required to have

¹⁵See e.g. Massachusetts Committee for Public Counsel Services, *Children and Family Law Program, Mentoring Program Manual* (Revised 2014), at 2. The Manual further describes mentoring as follows: “A mentor facilitates the mentee’s personal and professional growth by sharing the knowledge and insights that he or she has learned through the years. Through the mentoring process, the mentor and mentee work together to reach specific goals and to provide each other with sufficient feedback to ensure that these goals are reached.”

¹⁶See e.g. Texas Indigent Defense Commission & NLADA, *Indigent Defense Attorney Mentoring in Texas: A Guide to Establishing a Mentor Program* (Texas Mentoring Guide), at 3.

¹⁷NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 4.4.1.

¹⁸ACCD, Best Practices Committee, *Implementation of the ABA’s Ten Principles in Assigned-Counsel Systems: Preliminary Report* (September 2010) (ACCD 2010 Report), at 11. In its report, the ACCD noted that in Erie County, new panel attorneys are mentored by a full-time training attorney for 8 to 10 months. In Connecticut, the statewide public defense program assigns and pays experienced private attorneys to mentor new panel attorneys during their first year of taking assigned cases.

¹⁹Texas Mentoring Guide, at 3.

²⁰A link to the Texas Mentoring Guide and other supporting materials can be found at: <http://www.nlada.org/tools-and-technical-assistance/defender-resources/technical-assistance/indigent-defense-mentoring>.

²¹ACCD 2010 Report, at 11.

a mentor for at least one year. Other attorneys are voluntarily mentored. Mentoring is also used for panel attorneys who need extra support or remediation. In Ontario County, which has a smaller panel, one mentor is available to all attorneys to brainstorm, answer questions, observe trials, and sometimes serve as a second chair. In both Onondaga and Ontario County, mentors have helped to discern training needs and have facilitated in-house continuing legal education programs. Mentoring has helped to improve the quality of advocacy, broken down barriers, and promoted a sense of collaboration among panel attorneys.

4.2.c. Consultation. Each ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to resources to assist in addressing complex or systemic issues arising during individual representation.

Commentary:

Sole practitioners providing mandated representation, and their clients, will benefit significantly when ACPs develop appropriate resources for handling systemic or complex litigation and/or cases requiring forensic expertise. For example, the *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement counties have implemented consultation programs to assist panel attorneys in addressing such matters. The Ontario County mentor is available to field questions regarding complex litigation. At the Regional Tompkins-Schuyler ACP, experienced resource attorneys answer questions, discuss procedures and case strategies, shadow attorneys, and provide support and professional instruction. In Suffolk County, the ACP has contracted with an experienced criminal defense attorney to conference cases with panel attorneys. The Onondaga County ACP offers a cadre of resource attorneys, some with specialized skills, such as in sentencing, SORA advocacy, motion practice, and using experts. To encourage the use of consulting attorneys, ACPs employ various strategies, such as calling panel attorneys about particular cases and sending a weekly email newsletter that includes reminders about using the resource attorneys and stories about cases involving resource attorneys and successful outcomes.

4.2.d. Training. Each ACP shall provide its panel with access to appropriate substantive, procedural, and practical training programs. The ACP shall also provide its panel with access to second-chair programs that can provide opportunities for less experienced attorneys to gain necessary trial experience.

4.3. Staffing. Each county shall provide its ACP with suitable personnel to carry out its duties under County Law § 722 (3) and comply with these Standards.

4.3.a. Supervising Attorney. The Administrator shall be responsible for the supervision of assigned counsel, and such responsibility may be delegated to one or more supervising attorneys.

Commentary:

The *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement counties have transformed their ACPs by establishing supervisory attorney positions for quality oversight. The Programs in these counties, as well as the ACPs in Erie and Tompkins counties, provide examples that other counties can replicate. Counties with smaller panels may have an ACP Administrator also serving as the

Supervising Attorney. Regional programs may elect to have, in each county, a supervising attorney who is familiar with local practice and the local defense bar. The responsibilities of the supervising attorney include: (1) developing and publishing criteria for periodically monitoring assigned counsel; (2) regularly monitoring the quality of representation; (3) developing procedures for certification, recertification and/or removal of assigned attorneys; (4) instituting training curricula; and (5) acting as a liaison between the judiciary and the Program, and between individual panel attorneys and the Program.

4.3.b. Administrative Staff. The ACP shall include staff responsible for providing administrative services, which may include, but not be limited to, clerical support, data management, and budget and finance support.

4.3.b.i. Hiring Staff. The Administrator shall be responsible for assessing the administrative staff needs of the ACP and shall oversee the hiring of such staff.

4.3.b.ii. Client's Rights. The ACP shall ensure that all staff comply with the Statement of Client's Rights. *See* 22 NYCRR § 1210.1.

4.3.c. ACP Staff Salaries

4.3.c.i. The Administrator's compensation should be set at a level commensurate with the attorney's qualifications and experience and the responsibilities of the position. There should be a parity of compensation as between the Administrator and any other Chief Defender in the county.

4.3.c.ii. The starting pay for ACP legal and administrative staff should facilitate the recruitment of qualified personnel. Salary levels thereafter should promote the retention of staff. All salary levels should reflect parity as to similar positions in the prosecutor's office or local public defense offices.

4.4. Client Communication. The ACP shall work with justice system and other officials to ensure that adequate confidential meeting space for client interviews is provided in courthouses, jails, and prisons. The ACP shall similarly work with officials to establish means by which incarcerated clients can have confidential communication with their assigned counsel by telephone or otherwise.

4.5. Full Partnership. The ACP should have a voice in the county's efforts to maintain and improve the justice system.

Commentary:

The ACP is a stakeholder and should have a voice in the county regarding systemic public defense issues. For example, designating representatives to serve on work groups within the justice system and providing information to policymakers are among appropriate actions by ACPs. The ACP should continually assess the adequacy of 18-B rates and advocate for increased rates when appropriate.²² Government funding of ACPs must be sufficient to provide reasonable

²²Rates are set forth in County Law § 722-b.

compensation.²³ The perpetual lag in increasing compensation rates is recounted in the 2006 Final Report to the Chief Judge of the State of New York by the Commission on the Future of Indigent Defense Services.²⁴ Stagnant rates can drive lawyers off panels or into carrying excessive caseloads. The rates were last raised in 2004.²⁵ Administrators have a duty to document the need for increased rates and bring the issue to the attention of public officials who can effectuate change.

4.6. Ensuring Adequacy of Facilities for Representation. The ACP shall require that all panel attorneys have the facilities necessary to provide quality representation.

4.6.a. Confidential Client Communication Facilities. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to meeting facilities and equipment as needed to ensure client confidentiality, including a means for clients to contact the attorney by telephone without the client having to incur burdensome charges.

4.6.b. Legal Research Capacity. ACP services and facilities shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to adequate research resources. The ACP is not obligated to provide these support services directly, but should strive to do so where feasible.

5. Early Representation

5.1. General. The ACP shall implement systematic procedures to ensure the prompt assignment of counsel for all persons eligible for mandated representation.

5.1.a. Assignment During Eligibility Determination. Provision of counsel shall not be delayed while a person's eligibility for mandated representation is being determined or verified.

5.1.b. Subsequent Appearances. Eligible persons shall have counsel at every court appearance.

5.2. Counsel in Criminal Cases. Counsel shall be provided as soon as possible to any persons who are subject to state action due to allegations of criminal conduct. The ACP, working with other components of the justice system, shall ensure the provision of counsel at first appearance. Upon request, the ACP shall provide counsel prior to the initiation of formal charges, when it appears that such charges, and mandated representation, are imminent.

Commentary:

Representation is required at arraignment, usually the first court appearance in a criminal case, which, like a bail hearing, is a critical stage of a criminal prosecution, as held in *Hurrell-Harring v. New York*, 15 NY 3d 8, 20 (2010). Early entry of counsel can impact the entire criminal case.

²³NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 3.4, Commentary (ACP that inadequately compensates the attorneys who provide the actual representation will fail to deliver the quality representation envisioned by these standards).

²⁴Kaye Commission Final Report, at pp 8-12. http://www.nycourts.gov/ip/indigentdefense-commission/IndigentDefenseCommission_report06.pdf (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

²⁵L 2003, ch 62, pt. J, § 2.

Arraignment is often the attorney's first opportunity to meet the client and advocate for him or her. At arraignment, important decisions are made, particularly regarding the client's liberty. Preventing pretrial detention is often the key to obtaining a favorable ultimate outcome. Avoiding the disruptions in housing, employment, and family life caused by detention can allow the client to better assist in the defense. Counsel entering the case early may also protect the client from making incriminating statements; commence a prompt investigation; and ensure preservation of evidence. Counsel may identify and address the client's immediate needs, such as maintaining medications or child care, as well as long-term needs, such as substance abuse treatment or income support. All these actions can affect the case, as well as the client's personal life. The assignment of counsel should not be contingent on the filing of formal charges. Instead, upon the request of the suspect, counsel should be assigned any time an individual has reason to believe criminal prosecution may commence. This includes when an investigation is initiated; before grand jury testimony; or any time that contact with law enforcement might result in the filing of charges against the individual. Numerous standards address the importance of the early entry of counsel.²⁶

5.3. Counsel for Litigants in Family Law Matters. The ACP shall provide counsel, upon request, to any person legally entitled to representation in family law matters; and the Program should make representation available during the investigatory stage of a child protective matter.

Commentary:

Litigants have the right to assigned counsel in a broad range of family law proceedings involving "the infringements of fundamental interests and rights, including the loss of a child's society and the possibility of criminal charges." *Matter of Ella B.*, 30 NY2d 352 (1972); Family Court Act § 261. Assigned counsel is available in cases involving child custody and visitation, abuse/neglect, foster care placement and review, termination of parental rights, a destitute child, adoption, paternity, family offenses, contempt of court for willful violation of a prior court order, and any other proceeding in which the judge concludes that the U.S. or New York State Constitution requires the assignment of counsel. Family Court Act § 262; SCPA 407; Judiciary Law § 35 (8). Prompt access to counsel by Family Court litigants is important, whether the case is between private litigants or is commenced by the state against an individual, such as a child abuse or neglect case ("state intervention case"). Early access to counsel helps individuals decide whether to initiate litigation or how to respond to it; protects due process rights of parents, children, and families; and ensures that judges have the comprehensive information needed to render sound decisions.

²⁶See e.g. ILS Conflict Standards, Standard 5 (a) (mandated representation should begin when suspect invokes right to counsel during investigatory stage); ILS Criteria and Procedures for Determining Assigned Counsel Eligibility, Standard XII (eligibility determination should be made as soon as possible for persons who are subject of an investigation); NYSBA Revised Standards, Standard B-1 (representation should be available upon request during investigation), Standard B-3 (counsel shall be available when person reasonable believes process will commence that could result in proceeding where representation is mandated); ABA Ten Principles, Principle 3 (counsel should be assigned as soon as feasible after arrest, detention or a request for counsel).

Representation at preliminary proceedings can affect the progress and outcome of the entire case and is particularly crucial to effective representation in state intervention cases. Without the timely access to counsel, the client is severely disadvantaged. During the investigatory stage of a state intervention case, counsel can: seek to ensure that local social services agencies comply with the law; expedite the provision of appropriate services to the client; prevent unnecessary removal of children; and sometimes avoid the initiation of a court proceeding altogether. The importance of timely access to counsel in state intervention cases was emphasized in the Interim Report to Chief Judge Janet DiFiore, issued in February 2019 by the New York State Commission on Parental Legal Representation.

Representation may start upon the request of an individual seeking to initiate, or respond to, a Family Court proceeding in which assigned counsel is mandated. In a state intervention matter, upon request, representation may begin when a child protective services (CPS) investigation is initiated; after a child has been removed from the individual's custody due to CPS action; or in any situation where contact with CPS might result in filing of child abuse or neglect charges. If a court proceeding is initiated, meeting with the client sufficiently before the initial hearing—a “critical stage” of a child protective case—is necessary for the attorney to adequately protect the client's rights and advance his or her goals. Relevant parental representation standards urge access to counsel at the earliest possible stage of a state intervention case.²⁷ Also relevant are the standards regarding early access to counsel set forth in the Commentary to Standard 5.2 of these Standards.

6. Duration and Continuity of Representation

6.1. Duration of Representation. The ACP shall ensure that all clients receive legal representation throughout the matter for which representation was approved.

Commentary:

Clients should be represented continuously during the case. The same attorney should represent the criminal defendant client throughout the trial court proceedings and thereafter, until a new attorney is assigned for an appeal.²⁸ Similarly, counsel's representation should continue at least until a family law client receives an order of disposition. As set forth in Standard 9.2.m, trial counsel must take steps to protect the client's appellate rights. The attorney assigned to the direct appeal should represent the client throughout the appeal. In a criminal case, this will typically encompass representing the defendant until the appeal has been decided and there has been a disposition as to any post-conviction proceedings. After resolution of the appeal in the intermediate appellate court and any collateral proceedings, appellate counsel should take steps to protect the

²⁷See e.g. ILS Standards for Parental Representation in State Intervention Matters, Standard I (detailing representation that should be provided prior to court intervention); ABA Standards of Practice for Attorneys Representing Parents in Abuse and Neglect Cases, Standard 4 (attorney shall actively represent parent in pre-petition phase, if permitted); and High Quality Legal Representation for All Parties in Child Welfare Proceedings, pages 6-7, US HHS, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau (Info Memo ACYF-CB-IM-17-02, Jan. 17, 2017).

²⁸See ABA Standards for Criminal Justice, Standard 21-2.2 (a) (counsel should continue to represent defendant until decision has been made as to appeal and, if appeal is taken, to serve defendant until new counsel is substituted).

client's rights to further appeal and should continue to represent the client until all available appellate remedies have been exhausted.

6.2 Continuity of Representation. The ACP shall ensure representation by the same attorney throughout the trial level, unless the needs of the client or unavoidable circumstances require otherwise.

7. Budget and Funding

7.1. General. Each ACP shall be provided with sufficient funding to carry out its functions under County Law § 722 (3) and to ensure quality representation.

Commentary:

Quality representation by counsel in criminal and family law matters ensures the proper functioning of our justice system. Without adequate funding, these Standards cannot be met, and effective assistance cannot be provided.²⁹ In 2006, the Kaye Commission³⁰ concluded that “New York’s current fragmented system of county-operated and largely county-financed indigent defense services fails to satisfy the state’s constitutional and statutory obligations to protect the rights of the indigent accused.” A complete overhaul of the system was recommended, including creation of a statewide public defense system overseen by an independent governing body, as well as adequate funding provided by the Legislature from the State’s General Fund.³¹

In 2015, the *Hurrell-Harring* Settlement required the state to pay for specified improvements in public defense in criminal cases in five counties. In 2017, the state authorized ILS to prepare plans for counsel at first appearance, caseload relief, and quality improvement to expand statewide the reforms taking place in the five *Hurrell-Harring* counties. The state is obligated to pay for those reforms.³² The FY 2018-2019 state budget included funding to implement the first year of those statewide reforms.³³ While the state now plays an important new role in public defense, the counties retain primary responsibility for funding it. Thus, ACPs and Administrators have an ongoing responsibility to work with relevant governmental entities to obtain the funding needed to meet these Standards. *See* Commentary to Standard 4.5.

7.1.a. Periodic Review. Each county shall conduct periodic evaluation and review of the ACP budget and communicate the fiscal and programmatic needs of the ACP to ILS.

²⁹NYSBA Revised Standards, Introduction, final paragraph at 4 (it is vital that funding is adequate to enable providers to meet or exceed standards). ILS Conflict Standards, Standards 3, 4, 5, and 6 (counties must ensure that mandated legal services providers have access to and use as needed investigative and assistance of experts and have time and resources needed to ensure meet performance standards and can spend sufficient time with clients to establish a meaningful client-attorney relationship).

³⁰The Commission was created by, and reported to, then Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye.

³¹Kaye Commission Final Report, at iii; 2, 4.

³²L 2017, ch 59, Part VVV, amending County Law § 722-e and adding Executive Law § 832 (4).

³³The FY 2019-20 Executive Budget proposal, released on January 15, 2019, recommends full funding for the second year of statewide implementation of reforms.

7.1.b. Compliance with all ILS Standards. The ACP and the county shall make known to ILS the state funding needed to comply with these and all other ILS standards.

7.1.c. Budget and Record-Keeping. The ACP shall prepare and submit a detailed budget to the county funding authority and shall maintain records and accounts of expenditures in accordance with accepted accounting practices and relevant laws and regulations.

7.1.d. Voucher Review. The county and ACP shall not delay the payment of vouchers or reduce the amount paid to reduce costs.

PART III. ACP RESPONSIBILITIES

A. General Responsibilities

8. Operational Responsibilities

8.1. Attorney Panels. The ACP shall create panels of attorneys who have demonstrated the skill, experience, and commitment needed to provide quality representation to public defense clients.

8.1.a. Differentiated Panels. To ensure the competence necessary for a given case, the ACP shall create specific types of panels based upon the category and complexity of the case.

8.1.b. Qualifications. The ACP shall create standards and a process for attorneys to apply to participate on the panel, including specific criteria for acceptance onto any subpanel.

8.1.c. Regional Recruitment. While recruitment for the panel may begin with the local bar association, all qualified attorneys shall be considered; and the opportunity to participate in the panel should be publicized to all attorneys within the ACP's county or region.

Commentary:

Counties with few available attorneys and/or large geographic size, as well as those establishing a regional ACP, should not unduly restrict panel membership based on geographical considerations. Qualified out-of-county attorneys should not be excluded if their participation ensures appropriate client access and timely court appearances. *See* Standard 2.1.a, Commentary. While criteria for placing attorneys on panels may reflect local needs, they must be consistent with, and ensure compliance with, these and all ILS standards.

8.1.d. No Fee. The ACP shall not charge a fee for applying to, or remaining on, a panel.

8.1.e. Administrator Assignments. The selection of assigned counsel for a case should be made by, or at the direction of, the Administrator and should not be made by a judge or court official, except in an emergency, in exceptional circumstances or when an initial

assignment of counsel in one court is continued by a judge in a court to which the case is transferred.

Commentary:

These Standards express the importance of ACP control over the assignment process. A Program Administrator may select panel attorneys to individual cases or may provide a list of qualified attorneys from which courts may assign counsel on a rotational basis. Zealous representation should be encouraged, and patronage should be discouraged. This standard is consistent with County Law § 722 (4), which requires courts to assign counsel in accordance with a plan conforming to the requirements of § 722 and permits courts to exercise their inherent authority to assign counsel if a county lacks an 18-B plan. Mechanical rotation may not ensure quality representation in unusual cases or circumstances, as discussed in Standard 3.3.d.v. In those instances, an Administrator should match an attorney to a particular case and client. Judicial appointment should only occur on an interim basis, when no plan has yet been established or in other exceptional circumstances, but not as the default or permanent mode of assignment.

8.1.f. Geographic Areas. To ensure that assigned counsel are available at first appearance for every client, the ACP may establish geographic areas in which each assigned attorney may accept cases.

Commentary:

ACPs must often address geographical challenges. The Administrator should consider the county's size and terrain, as well as the location and number of courts. One method used by Administrators to address geographic challenges is dividing the county into distinct geographic zones and designating specific panel members who reside in each zone to cover both off-hour arraignments and regular appearances in the courts of each zone. This system can help reduce mileage costs and ensure that panel attorneys are not overburdened and can timely appear for all types of court proceedings.

8.1.g. Malpractice Insurance. The ACP shall require all attorneys seeking appointment to the panel to provide evidence of adequate malpractice coverage, to a dollar amount specified by the ACP.

8.2. Requirement that Eligible Clients Receive Representation. The ACP shall utilize applicable ILS Eligibility Standards.

8.3. Procedures for Compensating Panel Attorneys. The ACP shall establish and maintain procedures for compensating assigned counsel.

8.3.a. Full Compensation. The ACP shall compensate assigned counsel for all hours necessary to provide quality legal representation.

8.3.b. Prompt Payment. The ACP shall develop and implement procedures for compensating panel attorneys that ensure prompt payment.

8.3.c. Additional Payment. Assigned counsel shall not seek to be privately retained to represent the client, shall not agree to be privately retained upon request of the client, and shall neither seek nor accept payment from a client or any other person. Noncompliance with this rule is a ground for removal from the panel. Assigned counsel should not seek nor accept payment from a client or any other source to supplement fees and expenses for non-attorney professional services authorized by the ACP.

Commentary:

County Law § 722-b (4) provides: “No counsel assigned hereunder shall seek or accept any fee for representing the party for whom he or she is assigned without approval of the court as herein provided.” Three Appellate Division Departments have relevant rules. The First Department rule states that an assigned attorney shall not “in any manner” accept payment in any form from the person being represented or any other person, except where expressly allowed by statute or by court order.³⁴ The Fourth Department has a similar rule.³⁵ The Second Department has stricter requirements, omitting the exception for a court order, prohibiting an assigned attorney from accepting a retainer from a client in the assigned or any other case, and requiring removal from the panel of attorneys who violate the rule.³⁶

8.3.d. Interim Vouchers. Procedures for compensating assigned counsel should include policies allowing for the payment of interim vouchers for fees and expenses.

Commentary:

This Standard applies to complex and lengthy cases where interim vouchers will support an attorney’s ability to represent clients and/or help the ACP plan its budget.

8.3.e. Post-Disposition Work. Policies for compensating assigned counsel shall allow for payment of vouchers in cases requiring post-disposition work.

Commentary:

This standard reinforces ethical principles and appellate standards that prevent an attorney from abandoning a client during the period between the entry of judgment and the assignment of an attorney to handle the appeal. A trial attorney should be permitted to file a supplemental voucher for legal work done during this period, pursuant to Standard 6.1. Such approach will mean that panel attorneys and their clients are not at a disadvantage as compared to institutional providers.

³⁴22 NYCRR § 603.30.

<http://www.nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Committees&Programs/DDC/part603.shtml#s60329>

(no attorney assigned for a criminal defendant shall demand, accept, receive or agree to accept or receive any payment or reward or any promise thereof from the defendant or any other person, except as expressly authorized by statute or court order) (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

³⁵22 NYCRR § 1015.9.

<http://www.nycourts.gov/courts/ad4/Clerk/AttyMttrs/Part-1015-Attorneys.pdf>

(last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

³⁶22 NYCRR § 691.16. <http://www.nycourts.gov/courts/ad2/pdf/Part691.pdf>

(last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

8.3.f. Expenses. The ACP shall advise assigned counsel as to which expenses are reimbursable and shall promptly authorize reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

8.3.g. Changes in Procedures. The ACP shall provide assigned counsel with prompt, clear information regarding any changes to payment or reimbursement procedures. The ACP shall not retroactively apply any changes to such procedures.

8.4. Administrative Responsibilities for Panel Attorneys. The ACP shall establish clear, fair guidelines regarding the administrative responsibilities of panel attorneys.

8.5. Access to Appropriate Non-Attorney Professional Services. The ACP shall ensure that individual assigned counsel have access to the non-attorney professional services needed at every phase of the case.

8.5.a. Range of Services. Such professional services shall include access to investigatory, expert, social work, mental health, interpreter, and other relevant services.

8.5.b. Direct Services. The ACP is not obligated to provide these services directly, but should strive to do so where feasible.

8.6. Quality Assurance Procedures. The ACP shall develop and implement comprehensive quality assurance procedures, as set forth below.

B: Quality Assurance Provisions

9. General Provisions

9.1. Compliance with Applicable Standards. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel are aware of, and comply with, all applicable performance and ethical standards.

9.2. Client-Centered Representation. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel provide client-centered representation, which, at a minimum, shall include:

9.2.a. Contacting clients as soon as possible after appointment.

9.2.b. Promptly meeting with clients (whether in detention or not) prior to a court appearance, and as needed, in a space that complies with Standard 9.2.e.

9.2.c. Accepting telephone calls from clients, including from detention facilities.

9.2.d. Timely responding to client inquiries.

9.2.e. Ensuring that client privacy and the confidentiality of communications are protected.

9.2.f. Communicating relevant information about the case to the client in a timely and respectful manner, and using clear and understandable language, so that the client can make informed decisions.

9.2.g. Discussing relevant documents with the client and providing copies upon request.

9.2.h. Collaborating with the client to achieve the best possible result, consistent with the client's objectives.

9.2.i. Pursuing alternatives to incarceration where appropriate; providing accurate information about sentencing; reviewing the presentence report with the client, acting to correct errors in that report; and filing a defense presentence memorandum where appropriate.

9.2.j. Utilizing appropriate non-attorney professional services, such as investigators, expert witnesses, sentencing advocates, and social workers.

9.2.k. Determining, and explaining to clients, the collateral consequences of any course of action, and where appropriate, using the existence of these consequences to achieve better plea negotiations.

Commentary:

The collateral, or “enmeshed,” consequences of a conviction can impact nearly every aspect of a criminal defendant’s life, including immigration status, family, housing, employment, education, public benefits, and finances.³⁷ Since such impact can be more significant than the sentence imposed, professional standards require defense attorneys to advise clients of such consequences.³⁸ In *Padilla v Kentucky*, 599 US 356 (2010), the U.S. Supreme Court held that the defendant received ineffective assistance where the deportation consequence of the guilty plea was clear but defense counsel failed to advise him such risks. The *Padilla* analysis transcends the immigration realm and applies to a wide range of other consequences flowing from criminal convictions.³⁹ While it is not always possible to avoid immigration and other consequences of a conviction, creative plea negotiations may help to ameliorate adverse outcomes or serve as a rationale for a lesser sentence. Thus, defense attorneys are most

³⁷See e.g. NYSBA, Special Committee on Collateral Consequences of Criminal Proceedings, *Re-Entry and Reintegration: The Road to Public Safety* (2006), at 443 (noting that “collateral consequences hinder successful reintegration by restricting access to the essential features of a law-abiding and dignified life—family, shelter, work, civic participation, and financial stability”).

³⁸See e.g. ABA Criminal Justice Standards for the Defense Function (4th ed), Standard 4-5.4; NYSBA Revised Standards, § I-7(e); NLADA Performance Guidelines, §§ 6.3, 8.2; see also *Padilla v Kentucky*, 599 US 356, 366 (2010).

³⁹McGregor Smyth, *Collateral No More: The Practical Imperative for Holistic Defender in a Post-Padilla World... Or, How to Achieve Consistently Better Results for Clients*, 21 St Louis Univ L J 139,143 (2011). In his *Padilla v Kentucky* concurrence, Justice Alito noted that this “case happens to involve removal, but criminal convictions can carry a wide variety of consequences other than conviction and sentencing, including civil commitment, civil forfeiture, the loss of the right to vote, disqualification from public benefits, ineligibility to possess firearms, dishonorable discharge from the Armed Forces, and loss of business or professional licenses.” *Padilla*, 599 US at 377.

effective when they determine the potential collateral consequences of a conviction; advise their clients accordingly; and use the consequences as leverage for better case dispositions.⁴⁰

9.2.1. When representing adolescent and young adult clients—whether charged with criminal or delinquent behavior or facing loss of the opportunity to parent their children—developing expertise in adolescent development, custody and care of youth, and other unique needs of these clients.

Commentary:

Increasing attention to the emotional, social, and physical differences between adolescents and adults has led to changes in the justice systems;⁴¹ and no doubt more changes will come.⁴² The most significant systemic change has been the 2017 Raise the Age (RTA) legislation.⁴³ By October 2019, this law will divert many 16- and 17-year-olds from the adult system to Family Court.⁴⁴

In all types of proceedings, panel attorneys must be aware of their young clients' unique characteristics and needs, which may affect the client-attorney relationship, the legal posture of the case, and the best possible outcome. Attorneys must gather information needed to raise salient issues—from the ability of young clients to understand and validly waive rights, to the consideration of age in fashioning appropriate dispositions.⁴⁵ The need for expertise on youth is not limited to representation of those 17 years and younger: relevant adolescent

⁴⁰When representing non-citizen clients facing immigration issues, defense attorneys should consult with the Regional Assistance Immigration Center (RIAC) located in their region.

<https://www.ils.ny.gov/content/regional-immigration-assistance-centers> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁴¹Gary Gately, *Experts: Brain Development Should Play Bigger Role in Determining Treatment of Juvenile Offenders*, Juvenile Justice Information Exchange (Dec. 17, 2013).

<https://jjie.org/2013/12/17/experts-brain-development-should-play-bigger-role-in-determining-treatment-of-juvenile-offenders/> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁴²See e.g. Caren Harp, *Adolescent Brain Science: Proceed with Caution*, Juvenile Justice Information Exchange (May 8, 2017); Katie Hiler, *Research Suggests a New Reason for Teens' Risky Behavior*, Science Friday (Sept. 11, 2017).

<https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-09-11/research-suggests-new-reason-teens-risky-behavior> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁴³L 2017, ch 59, part WWW.

⁴⁴Misdemeanor charges will be heard in Family Court from the outset. Nonviolent felony charges will begin in a Youth Part of adult court, with most then moving to Family Court. Violent felony charges will remain in adult court, unless removed to Family Court.

⁴⁵See e.g. Materials from the 26th Annual Maureen Kearney Rowley CJA Panel Training Seminar (Philadelphia, PA, May 12, 2017), *How Adolescent Brain and Behavioral Development Can Affect Competency, Culpability, and Other Determinations in Criminal Court*.

<http://pae.fd.org/files/ABBDP.pdf> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019); Rebecca Harkness, Sue Abrams, & Abby Eskin, *Building a Safety Net for Teen Parents in Foster Care: California's Approach*, Child Law Practice (ABA May-June 2017),

https://www.americanbar.org/groups/child_law/resources/child_law_practiceonline/child_law_practice/vol-1-36/may-june-2017/building-a-safety-net-for-teen-parents-in-foster-care--californi.html (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019); and see Terry A. Maroney, *The False Promise of Adolescent Brain Science in Juvenile Justice*, 85 Notre Dame L Rev 89 (2013).

<https://scholarship.law.nd.edu/ndlr/vol85/iss1/3/> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

characteristics may continue to age 25.⁴⁶ So in all stages of representation—from developing a theory of the case⁴⁷ to advocating for the best possible disposition⁴⁸—counsel should consider age-related issues and consultation with experts on this topic.

9.2.m. Taking all necessary steps to protect, preserve, and enforce clients’ post-conviction and appellate rights.

Commentary:

This topic merits special attention to clarify trial counsel’s role and avoid common lapses in preserving clients’ appellate rights. When a trial court judgment or order has been rendered, trial counsel should explain such outcome and inform the client of the right to appeal. This duty applies with equal force in criminal cases in which the judgment of conviction was based on a plea of guilty and there was a purported waiver of the right to appeal, and in criminal cases where the judgment followed a trial. Further, this duty applies when intermediate orders have been entered in Family Court Act article 10 proceedings, as well as when final orders of disposition have been rendered in any family law proceeding. If the client decides to appeal, trial counsel must file a notice of appeal or move for permission to appeal if an appeal as of right does not lie. In addition, counsel must inform the client of the right to poor person relief. If the client wishes to seek poor person status and assignment of appellate counsel, the assigned trial attorney should take all appropriate steps to achieve such relief. In criminal cases, trial counsel may use CPL 380.55 or submit a poor person application. In Family Court cases, counsel may certify indigency under Family Court Act § 1118. While Appellate Division Department rules differ regarding counsel’s duty to achieve the assignment of appellate counsel, clearly the best practice is to take all possible steps on behalf of a client who is unable to afford appellate counsel. Trial counsel’s representation should continue until appellate counsel is assigned, as noted in Commentary to Standard 6.1.

Appellate counsel’s duty of representation encompasses the direct appeal and any appropriate post-conviction proceedings initiated, as set forth in ILS Appellate Standards, Standard 20. Counsel should explain the outcome of the appeal or collateral proceeding and notify the client of the right to further appeal. If the client wishes to pursue a further appeal to the New York Court of Appeals, appellate counsel must make a motion for leave to appeal in a criminal case and, in a family law case, file a notice of appeal or seek leave to appeal, as appropriate. If the client continues to be indigent, poor person relief granted by the Appellate Division continues for the purpose of filing a notice of appeal or making an application for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals (22 NYCRR 500.21 [g]). Appellate counsel should exhaust all appropriate appellate remedies, as set forth in ILS Appellate Standards, Standard 16.

⁴⁶See e.g. Coalition for Juvenile Justice, *What Are the Implications of Adolescent Brain Development for Juvenile Justice?* (2006), at 1, 3.

https://www.juvjustice.org/sites/default/files/resource-files/resource_134.pdf

(last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁴⁷See e.g. NLADA, Performance Guidelines, Guideline 4.3.

<https://pdc.idaho.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/11/2016/06/NLADAPerformanceGuidelines.pdf> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁴⁸See *id.*, Guidelines 8.3, 8.6, and 8.7.

10. Attorney Capability

10.1. Knowledge and Experience. The ACP shall establish and maintain systems to ensure that assigned counsel have sufficient knowledge and experience to provide quality representation to clients.

10.2. Assessment of Attorneys. The ACP shall develop and maintain systems to (a) determine which levels of cases are appropriate for each attorney; (b) recertify panel attorneys; and (c) identify the training needs of panel attorneys.

11. Attorney Caseload

11.1. Attorney Caseloads. The ACP shall establish and maintain systems to ensure that caseloads comply with ILS Caseload Standards.⁴⁹

11.1.a. Evaluation of Attorney Caseload. In assigning cases to panel attorneys, the ACP shall take into consideration: (a) the types of cases being handled; (b) the qualifications and experience of the attorneys; (c) the distance between the attorney's office and the courts or other relevant sites; (d) the time needed to interview clients and witnesses; (e) the attorneys' total workload, including the extent of the attorney's private practice; and (f) any other relevant factors.

11.1.b. Review of Attorney Caseload. The ACP shall review attorney caseloads on a regular basis.

12. Training

12.1. Orientation. For new panel members, the ACP shall provide a mandatory orientation, which should include a discussion of expectations for quality representation and administrative procedures.

12.2. Initial Training. The ACP shall ensure that panel attorneys receive appropriate training prior to any case assignments. The ACP may directly provide, or financially support, this training, but is not required to do so.

12.3. Ongoing Training

12.3.a. Obtaining CLE Training. The ACP shall ensure that all assigned counsel obtain continuing legal education (CLE) and other training needed so that their skills and knowledge will enable them to provide quality representation. The ACP should encourage panel attorneys to utilize national, regional, state, and local sources of training.

⁴⁹ILS, Determination of Caseload Standards pursuant to § IV of the *Hurrell-Harring v. The State of New York* Settlement (2016), <https://www.ils.ny.gov/files/Hurrell-Harring/Caseload%20Reduction/Caseload%20Standards%20Report%20Final%20120816.pdf> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019). *See also* Executive Law § 832 (4) (b).

12.3.b. Mandated Representation Topics. The ACP shall ensure that all assigned counsel allocate a significant portion of their mandatory CLE credit requirement to courses related to the subject matter of the mandated representation they provide.

12.3.c. Monitoring CLE Programs. The ACP shall monitor CLE programs attended by assigned counsel.

12.3.d. Providing Affordable Programs. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel have access to high-quality free or affordable CLE and other training programs relevant to their work.

Commentary:

Almost 30 years ago, NLADA issued standards stating that ACP Administrators “shall be responsible for preparing, in accordance with Board specifications, an entry-level training program,”⁵⁰ as well as “periodic in-service training programs to provide systematic, comprehensive instruction in substantive law and courtroom skills.”⁵¹ ACP Boards are called upon to “establish regulations requiring [panel] attorneys to attend a specified number of training units per year.” The Board and Administrator are directed to “encourage attorneys to participate in training sessions beyond the mandatory training units required by the Board.” Among the many related standards cited by NLADA was a provision in the ABA Defense Services Standards. A year later, the ABA issued the Third Edition of those standards, which states: “The legal representation plan should provide for the effective training, professional development and continuing education of all counsel and staff involved in providing defense services.”

More recent standards specific to New York provide that local plans or programs should provide for the effective training, professional development, and continuing education of all attorneys, other professionals, and staff involved in public defense.⁵² Continuing legal education and training programs shall be made available and affordable for attorneys and staff providing mandated representation, and public funds shall be provided to enable all attorneys and staff to attend such programs.”⁵³ ILS has stated that public defense attorneys and programs should require entry-level and continuing training relevant to mandated representation cases.⁵⁴ Whether developing their own training program or taking advantage of other available programs, ACPs must ensure that every panel attorney receives the training needed to deliver quality representation. Standard 7.1 contemplates that sufficient funding will be provided for the requisite training of panel attorneys.

⁵⁰NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 4.3.1.

⁵¹*Id.*, at Standard 4.3.2 (b).

⁵²NYSDA Standards for Mandated Representation, Standard VI.A.

http://66.109.34.102/ym_docs/04_NYSDA_StandardsProvidingConstitutionallyStatutorilyMandatedRepresentation.pdf (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁵³NYSBA Revised Standards, Standard F-2.

<http://www.nysba.org/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=44644> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

⁵⁴ILS Conflict Standards, Standard 7(d).

<https://www.ils.ny.gov/files/Conflict%20Defender%20Standards%20and%20Criteria.pdf> (last accessed Feb. 22, 2019).

13. Supervision and Mentoring

13.1. Use of ACP Resources. The ACP shall ensure that assigned counsel are aware of, and utilize, the services described in Section 4.2 of these Standards.

14. Performance Review and Remediation

14.1. Performance Review and Remediation Policies. The ACP shall provide assigned counsel with meaningful, periodic evaluation of their work, based on objective criteria, and shall publicize the criteria applied.

14.2. Complaint Procedures. The ACP shall establish procedures for the receipt, investigation, and resolution of complaints from clients, client family members, co-counsel, opposing counsel, the judiciary, and any other relevant source.

14.3. Remediation. The ACP shall establish policies for remediation to be employed when an attorney's performance fails to satisfy applicable criteria and standards.

Commentary:

Quality oversight must include a review of attorney performance, as well as procedures for addressing substandard performance.⁵⁵ The need for oversight is just as important for ACP programs as it is for institutional providers. Thus, the NLADA ACS Standards call upon ACPs to establish a system for monitoring attorneys' performance based on publicized criteria.⁵⁶ Further, programs should establish policies and procedures for remediation.⁵⁷ Similarly, the NYSBA Revised Standards provide that ACPs must provide periodic performance evaluations based on objective criteria⁵⁸ and must have procedures for addressing client complaints⁵⁹ and imposing appropriate remediation.⁶⁰ Quality oversight can guide the professional development of panel attorneys. Several ACPs have recruited experienced attorneys to identify serious performance issues and offer support and remediation to struggling attorneys. Setting a high bar for performance—when accompanied by the resources and supports needed to reach that bar—cultivates pride among panel attorneys and enhances the Program's ability to recruit committed panel attorneys. Several mechanisms exist for quality oversight.

Current examples of performance review and remediation approaches employed by ACPs, set forth below, can provide guidance to other Programs in the development of their own policies and procedures:

Performance Review and Remediation Policies: The Erie and Onondaga County ACPs have re-certification processes involving small committees of lawyers who review about one third of the

⁵⁵ABA Ten Principles, Principle 10 ("Defense counsel is supervised and systematically reviewed for quality and efficiency according to nationally and locally adopted standards").

⁵⁶NLADA ACS Standards, Standard 4.4.

⁵⁷*Id.*, at Standard 4.5.

⁵⁸NYSBA Revised Standards, Standard J-5.

⁵⁹*Id.*, at Standard J-7.

⁶⁰*Id.*, at Standard J-6.

panels each year. Both attorney review programs set forth criteria to be considered, such as: effective client communication; knowledge of the law; filing of appropriate motions; effective representation at pretrial, trial, and sentencing proceedings; and preservation of the clients' appellate rights. Attorney review procedures should incorporate the average time spent on cases and various aspects of cases; observations of judges and other attorneys; legal writing samples; client feedback; and an assessment of complaints.

Complaint Procedures: A procedure for addressing complaints ensures that the ACP timely learns of potential problems and instills a sense of trust in the Program. The Onondaga County ACP's Handbook sets forth a model complaint process. The Executive Director reviews all complaints to identify serious problems that may warrant suspension or removal from the panel. Most complaints can be handled by contacting the attorney and engaging in problem-solving. For the few serious complaints, Onondaga County uses a more formal complaint review process. A three-member Complaint Advisory Committee communicates with the attorney, provides opportunities to respond, and determines the appropriate action to take.

Remediation: In Onondaga County, if the Complaint Advisory Committee finds that the complaint allegations are valid, several responsive measures are available, including: counseling; training or mentoring or both; limitations in the types of cases assigned; suspension; and removal. The process is designed to promote remediation and support and to thus encourage attorneys to voluntarily contact the ACP for assistance before problems develop.